

School Board of Broward County, FL
Redistricting Committee Meeting Attendance 2011-2012

Redistricting Committee Members, Staff, and Community Guests	1/4/2012 kickoff #1 North Area Office 2:00 pm	1/11/2012 kickoff #2 North Area Office 6:00 pm	2/9/2012 kickoff #3 KCW Bldg 6:00 pm	2/22/2012 Public Orientation #1 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	3/29/2012 Public Orientation #2 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	4/19/2012 District 1 McArthur HS 6:00 pm	5/9/2012 District 2 Pembroke Pines Senior Center 6:00 pm	5/17/2012 District 3 City of Ft. Lauderdale Comm. Chambers 6:00 pm	5/22/2012 SBW #2 10:00 am Not Required to Attend	6/4/2012 District 4 Coral Springs HS 6:00 pm	6/21/2012 District 5 City of Lauderdale Lakes Ed. Center 6:00 pm	7/11/2012 District 6 Western HS 6:00 pm	7/25/2012 District 7 City of Deerfield Beach HS Auditorium 6:00 pm	8/15/2012 Public Meeting #8 South Plantation HS 6:00 pm	8/30/2012 Public Meeting #9 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	9/12/2012 Public Meeting #10 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	9/20/2012 Public Meeting #11 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	10/11/2012 Public Meeting #12 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	10/24/2012 Public Meeting #13 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	SBW #3 1/2013 Not Required to Attend
Ann Murray - District 1																				
Kristine Judeikis	Ab	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		Ab	Ab	x	x	x						
Russell Chard	x	x	x	x	Ab	x	Ab	x		x	x	x	x	x						
Patricia Good - District 2																				
Marilyn Soltanipour	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Ab		x	x	x	x	Ab						
Barbara Jones	Ab	x	x	x	x	x	x	Ab		x	x	x	x	x						
Maureen S. Dinnen - District 3																				
Paul Eichner	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	Ab	x	x						
Heather Cunniff	x	x	x	x	x	Ab	x	x		x	x	x	x	x						
Donna P. Korn - District 4																				
Latha Krishnaiyer	x	x	x	Ab	x	x	x	x	Present	x	Ab	x	x	x						
Mandy Wells	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	x	Ab	x	x						
Benjamin J. Williams - District 5																				
Roland Foulkes	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	Ab	x	x						
Roosevelt Walters	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x						
Laurie Rich-Levinson - District 6																				
Philip Busey	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x						
Barry Butin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	x	x	x						
Nora Rupert - District 7																				
Sheila Rose	x	x	x	x	Ab	x	Ab	x		-	x	x	x	Ab						
Ron Aronson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		x	x	x	Ab	x						
Katherine M. Leach, At-Large, County Wide District 8																				
Mary C. Fertig	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		Ab		x	x	x						
Michael De Gruccio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		x	x	x	x	x						
Robin Bartleman, At-Large, County Wide District 9																				
Alan Ehrlich	x	x	x	Ab	x	x	Ab	x		x	x	x	x	Ab						
Marsha Ellison	x	x	x	Ab	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x						
Superintendent Robert W. Runcie																				
Michael Rajner, Chair	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Present	x	x	x	x	x						

Any appointee who has three consecutive absences or misses four meetings in one calendar year shall be automatically removed by the appointed School Board Member. The automatic removal shall cause a vacancy to exist. In the event an appointee is automatically removed, the appointing School Board Member may reappoint the appointee when extenuating circumstances exist as determined by the appointing School Board Member.

School Board of Broward County, FL
Redistricting Committee Meeting Attendance 2011-2012

Attachment 3

Redistricting Committee Members, Staff, and Community Guests	1/4/2012 kickoff #1 North Area Office 2:00 pm	1/11/2012 kickoff #2 North Area Office 6:00 pm	2/9/2012 kickoff #3 KCW Bldg 6:00 pm	2/22/2012 Public Orientation #1 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	3/29/2012 Public Orientation #2 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	4/19/2012 District 1 McArthur HS 6:00 pm	5/9/2012 District 2 Pembroke Pines Senior Center 6:00 pm	5/17/2012 District 3 City of Ft. Lauderdale Comm. Chambers 6:00 pm	5/22/2012 SBW #2 10:00 am Not Required to Attend	6/4/2012 District 4 Coral Springs HS 6:00 pm	6/21/2012 District 5 City of Lauderdale Lakes Ed. Center 6:00 pm	7/11/2012 District 6 Western HS 6:00 pm	7/25/2012 District 7 City of Deerfield Beach HS Auditorium 6:00 pm	8/15/2012 Public Meeting #8 South Plantation HS 6:00 pm	8/30/2012 Public Meeting #9 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	9/12/2012 Public Meeting #10 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	9/20/2012 Public Meeting #11 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	10/11/2012 Public Meeting #12 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	10/24/2012 Public Meeting #13 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	SBW #3 1/2013 Not Required to Attend
District Staff & Guests - Attendance Not Required																				
Dr. Joanne Harrison Chief Officer, Office of Portfolio Services				x																
Leslie Brown Executive Director, Portfolio Services	x	x	x	x		x							x	x						
Jill Young Director, Demographics & Student Assignments	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Present	x	x	x	x	x						
Patrick Sipple Demographer Specialist, Demographics & Student Assignments	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	Present	x	x	x	x	x						
Charles Webster or Nadine Drew Coordinator, Public Relations & Govt. Affairs	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	Present	x	x									
J. Paul Carland General Counsel		x																		
School Board Members - Attendance Not Required																				
Katherine M. Leach				x																
Robin Bartleman																				
Ann Murray																				
Patricia Good																				
Maureen Dinnen																				
Donna P. Korn																				
Benjamin J. Williams																				
Laurie Rich-Levinson																				
Nora Rupert													x							

Single Board Member Redistricting Steering Public Meeting

Thursday, August 30, 2012

Start Time: 6:00 p.m.

Location: Kathleen C. Wright Board Room

600 SE Third Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Michael Rajner, Chair

Marsha Ellison, Vice Chair

Agenda

1. Call to order	
2. Pledge of Allegiance	
3. Roll Call (attachment 3) <i>Pages 1-2</i>	
4. Approval of August 30, 2012 Public Meeting Agenda <i>Pages 3-4</i>	
5. Approval of August 15, 2012 Draft Public Redistricting Meeting Minutes (attachment 5) <i>Pages 5-18</i>	
6. Chair/Vice Chair's Report	
7. Staff Follow Up	
7.1 US Census Key Dates at A Glance (attachment 7.1) <i>Pages 19-23</i>	
7.2 Geographic Levels for Census Summary Tables PL94-171 and SF1 (attachment 7.2) <i>Page 24</i>	
7.3 2010 and 2000 Broward County US Census Population (attachment 7.3) <i>Pages 25-26</i>	
7.4 Final Orange County School District, Palm Beach County School District and Broward County Commission Recommended Maps and Data <i>Pages 27-32</i>	
7.5 US Census Voter Age Population and race data at the precinct level for each of the 12 maps (see 9.1) <i>Pages 33-44</i>	
7.6 Data update in Web Tool To Compare Map Alternatives (<i>VAP to become available in tool next week</i>) (This application supports Microsoft Internet Explorer 9.0+, Google Chrome 21+, Mozilla Firefox 14+ and Apple Safari 5+) http://www.broward.k12.fl.us/redistricting/apps/CompareAlternatives/	
8. Presentation/discussion on Redistricting Legal Concerns by J. Paul Carland and Suzanne D'Agresta	
9. New Business	
9.1 US Census Voter Age Population and race data at the precinct level provided for each of the 12 maps <i>Pages 45- 141</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Map Alternative 1 - presented by City of North Lauderdale Commissioner, Jerry Grazioplene on May 9, 2012 <i>Pages 45-51</i>• Map Alternative 2 and Map Alternative 3 - presented by Roland Foulkes on June 4, 2012 <i>Pages 53-67</i>• Map Alternative 4 - presented by Roosevelt Walters and Rose Waters on June 21, 2012 <i>Pages 69-75</i>• Map Alternative 5 - presented by Philip Busey on June 21, 2012 <i>Pages 77-83</i>• Map Alternative 6 - presented by Ron Aronson on July 11, 2012 <i>Pages 85-91</i>• Map Alternative 7 - presented by Nathalie Lynch-Walsh <i>Pages 93-99</i>• Map Alternative 8 - presented by Melissa Gleissner <i>Pages 101-107</i>• Map Alternative 9 - presented by Roosevelt Walters and Rose Waters <i>Pages 109-115</i>• Map Alternative 10 - presented by Russell Chard <i>Pages 117-123</i>• Map Alternative 11 - presented by Freddy Avalos <i>Pages 125-131</i>• Map Alternative 12 - presented by Roosevelt Walters <i>Pages 133-139</i>	
9.2 Discussion of Russell Chard's memo on Map Alternative <i>Pages 144-155</i>	
9.3 Discuss committee activities for the months of September and October	
10. Unfinished Business	
11. Public Comment	
<i>Note: Members of the public will have up to 2 minutes each to provide public comment.</i>	
12. Committee Input on Agenda Items for September 12, 2012 Redistricting Public Meeting	
Adjourn	
Attachments for discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 3_Attendance Roster• 5_ August 15, 2012 Draft Public Redistricting Minutes• 7.1_Census Key Dates at A Glance• 7.2_ Geographic Levels for Census Summary Tables PL94-171 and SF1• 7.3_ 2010 and 2000 BC US Census Population• 7.4_Final Orange County School District, Palm Beach County School District and Broward County Commission Recommended Maps and Data	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 7.5_ US Census Voter Age Population and race data at the precinct level for each of the 12 maps• 9.1_RevisedTemplate For Map Evaluation Comparison• Revised Map Alternatives Evaluation Matrix Form• 9.2_Memo from Russell Chard

Single Board Member Redistricting Steering Public Meeting

Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Start Time: 6:00 p.m.

Location: South Plantation High School Media Center

1300 Paladin Way, Plantation, FL 33317

Michael Rajner, Chair

Marsha Ellison, Vice Chair

Agenda Items

1. Call to order

Chair Rajner called the meeting to order at 6:10 pm.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Vice Chair Marsha Ellison led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Roll Call

District 1 – Russell Chard
District 1 – Kristine Judeikis
District 2 – Barbara Jones
District 3 – Heather Cunniff
District 3 – Paul Eichner
District 4 – Latha Krishnaiyer
District 4 – Mandy Wells
District 5 – Roland Foulkes
District 5 – Roosevelt Walters
District 6 – Philip Busey
District 6 – Barry Butin
District 7 – Ron Aronson
County Wide, At-Large 8 - Marsha Ellison – Vice Chair
County Wide, At-Large 9 – Michael De Gruccio
County Wide, At-Large 9 – Mary C. Fertig
Superintendent – Michael Rajner- Chair

The following committee members were absent from the meeting:

District 2 – Marilyn Soltanipour
District 7 – Sheila Rose
County Wide, At-Large 8 - Alan Ehrlich

4. Approval of August 15, 2012 Public Redistricting Meeting Agenda

Chair Rajner requested that item 9.1 under Unfinished Business be moved to 7.7 due to there being no dialogue needed. The Chair also requested addressing Philip Busey's email to the Chair under staff follow up item 7.2. The agenda was adopted as amended.

5. Approval of July 25, 2012 Draft Public Hearing District 7 Minutes

The minutes were approved as amended.

6. Chair's Report

Tabled for discussion when the agenda items are discussed during this meeting.

7. Staff Follow Up

7.1 How Race Classifications Add Up

The Non-Hispanic population footnote was added to the diversity map tables by staff as follows:

“Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.”

7.2 Copy of Census Race Data tables P1 & P2

Pages 18-24 in the packets provide the tables of the US Census summary files we use to provide the total census population for this project.

Philip Busey stated he saw inconsistency with the data available from the US Census Web site and the data the committee is using. Mr. Busey stated that by pulling all races within the category of Hispanic makes it look like Hispanics are all of one race especially putting them all in the same category as White, Black and so forth. Mr. Busey stated he thought it was unequal to consider the race of Hispanics not important if we consider the race of non-Hispanics to be important enough to break it out and believes there is inconsistency between our presentation and data that is available from the US Census Bureau. Mr. Busey stated he found Hispanic and Non-Hispanic cross tabulated by race on the Census.gov Web site.

Chair Rajner asked how did Broward County address the Hispanic population?

Patrick Sipple presented the Broward County redistricting population utilized from the county's redistricting Web site where it showed the percentages of total population. Broward County did not include American Indian and Hawaiian, but the data does break out Hispanic and non-Hispanic. Patrick stated this is not a Broward County or Broward County School District methodology and that this is how the data is actually provided by the US Census Bureau. The way the US Census Bureau presents the summary data file breaks out total population Hispanic, or Latino, and then not Hispanic or Latino, then it breaks down the actual by race population. That is how we have presented the data as provided by the US Census Bureau. There was no data scrubbing of any data fields. Nothing was intentionally left out. These were the data fields they used by the US Census Bureau for the Voter Tabulated District breakdown. The US Census Bureau might have the data broken down by a larger geographical area like the county as a whole, but the Voter Tabulated Districts and the summary file is exactly what was presented by the US Census Bureau.

Latha Krishnaiyer asked how the State Department of Education follows these rules since a lot of what we do is dependent upon grants and funding from the State. How do we report out data and is it consistent with what you are presenting us. What criteria are we required to follow as a school district?

Jill Young stated the Broward County School District follows the Florida Department of Education which follows the US Census. We shared this with the Diversity Committee and all the schools back in 2010. When we collect student data, we collect it in the same way the US Census Bureau collects data so we are all in alignment with the same data sets.

Michael De Gruccio stated this was discussed in depth at the Diversity Committee when this question came up and maybe Roland Foulkes who chairs this committee may have something to add.

Ron Aronson asked where does Black Hispanics come in. Are you double counting that?

Patrick Sipple requested the committee look at page 18 to see the actual Census total population in the first field, then the Hispanic or Latino population and then non-Hispanic population, then the population of one race. The data shows the population of all the races: the total Black population, the total White population, Asian, Native Hawaiian, Native American, and other multiple races. It does not break the population down by whether or not a person is a White Hispanic or Black Hispanic, it just lumps them into a Hispanic category, and it lumps everyone else that is not of Hispanic origin, based on what they filled out on their Census form into one of the other races or multiple races. This is exactly how the Census Bureau presented it and provides it on their Web site for download and it is exactly what we used. This is the data set that allows it to be broken out by Census block, tracts, or voter precinct for the entire United States.

Philip Busey stated he was able to download from Census.gov a breakout of races and Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. The categories provided on page 18 does not prove they do not allow a cross tabulation of Hispanic and non-Hispanic by race. If we are going to say that all Hispanics are all of one race, or we are not going to pay attention to that, then I think we should ask the attorney if the School Board is allowed to ignore the race of Hispanics. Personally I think that is an unequal treatment to consider the race of non-Hispanics. Why don't we take all non-Hispanics and put them in all one race and then we only break out the race for Hispanics?

Roland Foulkes stated several years ago this district and districts across the country were required by the US Department of Education to ask parents of students their ethnicity and which racial category they chose to belong to. Those racial categories come from Federal Directive 15. In 2011-2012 we went from a majority Black district to a majority White district. According to the 2000 Census, 95-96% of the Hispanics nationwide identified themselves as White, identifying with the people in power. Immigrants come for a better life and the thinking is to

identify with the majority group so their life is better. That is the anthropological explanation for what we think is going on. In Broward County that is what happened.

Chair Rajner stated that by looking at what Mr. Busey provided from the US Census Bureau, White alone Hispanic is 341,000, Black is 17,000 which would make some logical reason as to why it might default to White for programming reasons to minimize steps, but this doesn't make it right or wrong.

Chair Rajner asked if staff had a comparison of ten years ago to see the Hispanic breakdown to see how drastically it changed?

Patrick Sipple stated we do not have it readily available at this meeting.

Patrick Sipple read Broward School District Frequently Asked Questions on the change of student diversity figures to align with the Florida Department of Education's alignment with the US Census.

Philip Busey stated there are two solutions as I see it. One would be to report Hispanic and non-Hispanic as two categories and then to report races as categories inclusive of language or Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin and that can be done because the Census data is available to do that, or two, to do the cross tabulation in which all categories of Hispanic or non-Hispanic race are included. Obviously one is going to be easier to present. I think a Black Hispanic person should be reported as Hispanic and as Black because they are both.

Philip Busey repeats, the first solution that I would propose which would be easier on the eye would be to keep it the way it is accept make sure any Hispanics are redistributed in the race category so when you see a Hispanic person they appear in both the Hispanic column and if they are Black Hispanic they appear in the Black column where the numbers of the races add up to the total.

Patrick Sipple clarifies what Mr. Busey is asking for is then to make sure that the population that is Hispanic, be distributed in each of the race categories. The Census may have this data, but it may only be broken down by the county as a whole, and not by precinct. When we received the Census data it was the summary files that everyone uses for racial and Hispanic breakdowns.

Russell Chard stated we have all been looking at this data for eight months and had this question came up in January and are still not sure this is something this committee could solve. We have come way too far to re-address this at this part. We have had seven public meetings, we have asked for input from the public. We told them to go to My Map Builder and use the data to construct maps and if we are now going to break the data into minute subsets all of that is wiped out and we have wasted eight months of a very narrow window to accomplish something. Any one of us could have restructured our map submissions based on a more detailed data set. I

would recommend that if what we have done is the legal standard used by the Broward County Government, the State of Florida and all the other entities, if we have followed a legally fair and defensible process, then I think it would be insanity to undo eight months of work.

Patrick Sipple stated the same data is what is used in the MyDistrictBuilder software. They use the same racial breakdown summary data files as provided by the US Census Bureau.

Chair Rajner stated there will be a discussion of maybe needing to offer map makers a quick turnaround time to modify their maps based the legal memo dealing with the population with a new set of data that we should have instructed the public on.

Ron Aronson asked, did you say we are counting people twice?

Patrick Sipple stated no we are not counting people twice. The way the Census Bureau breaks down the ethnicity and race inside the summary data files, they have a Hispanic category for people who identify themselves as Hispanic, then the not-Hispanic category of everybody else. Those two totals equal the total population. When you look at the table you try to figure out what all of the other races add up to be. All of the non-Hispanic races are those individual races of White, Black, Asian, Hawaiian, American Indian, Other and Multiracial. All of those races add up to be the total number of non-Hispanic population, so there is no double counting at all.

Chair Rajner stated we will defer this question to legal counsel on August 30th to respond. The Chair then requested staff to confirm legal counsel's attendance at this meeting and forward this to him to get final clarification on the issue.

Philip Busey requested confirmation on the unavailability of race data at the precinct level. He stated he brought this up in June at one of the mapping workshops and realizes it is late in the process, but thinks if it is wrong then it doesn't matter whether it was brought up early or late and if we are going to do this in a way that it ignores the race of Hispanic people we ought to be really clear there is a reason for doing that, if there is.

Chair Rajner stated he was fine with taking that extra effort of precaution in getting to this and stated he wanted to make sure that whatever the committee's recommendation, it was meaningful and considered by the Board.

Chair Rajner requested staff forward this memo to legal counsel on his behalf requesting clarification at the August 30th meeting and to request his participation and Suzanne D'Agresta's input on Philip Busey's memo.

Patrick Sipple goes over staff follow up item 7.3 to review the bullets of the memo submitted to Chair Rajner on the summary of community suggested interests on the single School Board redistricting process.

Chair Rajner stated these suggestions were shared item for item at the last Board report.

Chair Rajner moved to item 7.4 providing a list of questions identified over several committee meetings sent to Mr. Carland. He stated he did search the Internet this weekend to review the ability of minority communities to elect a representative of their choice and what he discovered was confirmed by Mr. Carland and the consulting attorney. He also stated he found this by going to the Orange County School Board's Web site which he found to be very helpful with some of the Powerpoint slides they had. He stated he watched some of their videos and it looked like they did not have a huge turnout either.

Chair Rajner asked the committee to review legal counsel's memo in depth over the week and ask questions of Mr. Carlin on the 30th. I think it is reasonable to offer a period of time like 10 days for map makers to modify their maps to include voting age population and resubmit them to us so we can look at them with both the population data sets.

Patrick Sipple stated that after speaking with Ed Solomon, Director of Development Planning with the Supervisor of Elections, there was no requirement for Broward County to use total population or the voting age population. They chose to use total population because their commissioners represent the total population and not just the voter or people who are eligible to voter. There was also a question on whether or not precincts can be split. Mr. Solomon stated it is frowned upon as it would change where the polling places are located and would change the Supervisor of Election's voting precincts and may or may not reflect where people live within the neighborhood of that precinct.

Staff's recommendation is to rerun the data on the existing maps first rather than opening up the mapping process again to begin at square one. This will make the percentages go down since this is a subset of the total population.

Russell Chard asked how we are bound by that when Broward County is not bound by this.

Patrick Sipple suggested that the question posed to legal counsel was more of a leading question.

Chair Rajner stated that legal counsel inserted a summary of a 3 to 4 paragraph email so they extracted out of that one question rather than a specific question.

Patrick Sipple stated the only question posed was should the committee use voting age population and there was never the question asked of whether you could use total population. It was only asked if voting age population and eligible voters as opposed to total population. So when the question was answered by legal counsel, given the choice of eligible population and voting age population they provided the answer of 18 years or over population as opposed to total population.

Chair Rajner stated there is a section under question 1 that reinforces this issue. It was in the Orange County Powerpoint slide that it was the data set to be used. The chair would like clear direction so the committee can move forward.

Paul Eichner stated this is a game changer because you are changing the rules significantly and the train left the station months ago. Let's ask staff to use the boundaries that were created but with the data we should use. We would be treating everybody's recommendation in the same fashion. We already heard the peoples input on what their intent was and what they were trying to accomplish. We can make the tweaks according to what makes the numbers work and uphold what the intention of the people's views were when they presented.

Patrick Sipple showed on the screen what Broward County used. Broward presented both data sets. There won't be a case where someone would have to redraw their map because they all met the initial criteria of plus or minus 5% of the district average population. We would just be showing that separate data set for the 18 year older population.

Marsha Ellison stated we can't be serious without using Voting Age Population (VAP) and we have known this for some time. Roosevelt and I have been a part of a lot of legal challenges and it has always stood the test of time because of special interest groups who may have put in a bunch of people who were ineligible to vote because they may have been in jail or prison. If this committee is going to the School Board to present this and VAP is not a consideration I don't think anyone would take us seriously. That is the essence of what we are talking about. Ms. Ellison then went on to say she knew for a fact that it was all about the VAP in the county discussions. How we get there, if the VAP created a different look that what you wanted it to be then the map maker would have to have the ability to see that. VAP would be the top data set and the total population would be below as an auxiliary table.

Latha Krishnaiyer stated she agreed with Mr. Eichner and asked in redrawing maps, would any of that get disturbed (Innovation Zones, the ethnicity and race, etc)?

Patrick Sipple stated it would be exactly as we have it just another diversity table breakdown and will not change the plus or minus 5% criteria of the population. You are taking away a population in a subgroup in each group.

Roland Foulkes agreed with Paul Eichner and Marsha Ellison and others about the need for voting age population and believes that the more information we have the better our recommendation we will have to make to the School Board.

Philip Busey concurs with rerunning the maps based on voting age population. He stated he would amend the motion to include race as Latino or not Latino.

Ron Aronson agrees with colleges. I want to make a motion to let staff take all maps and data and let them put together a couple of choices for us to go over and tweak.

Mary Fertig stated that it is an extremely critical data point and we need to go back to revisit the criteria given to us by the attorney in the beginning, or to take the 12 maps we have and make sure someone looks at them to say we are not going to go forward with something that is not going to be viable in the end. Ms. Fertig requested to make sure this data is included, as well as any other data used by Orange County before we have conversations.

Michael De Gruccio stated he thought the Voting Age Populations are extremely important.

Chair Rajner wanted to make certain the committee's recommendations are taken seriously with the best advice and input to the School Board so that it will withstand any challenge.

Motion : **Roland Foulkes** made the motion to recommend staff provide Voting Age Population presentation data for each of the 12 maps presented. **Motion was moved and seconded by Heather Cunniff.**

Discussion on the motion continues.

Philip Busey wanted to amend the recommendation to include both Hispanic and non-Hispanic into the data. After collecting the Census in 2010 Hispanic or Latino was not a race and if they had known that by answering, "Yes, I am Hispanic" that would have wiped out any report of their race then they should have been advised but they were not.

Parliamentarian Patricia McDougle advised the committee as to when a friendly amendment is being proposed to a motion. "Friendly amendments should really be ignored. Once an amendment has been stated by the chair, then you have to formally vote on the amendment by the committee."

Ms. McDougle advised that **Mr. Busey** should move to amend the motion made by **Mr. Foulkes** by adding the words or adding the categories to include whatever he said.

Motion : **Philip Busey** made the motion to recommend race be inclusive of Hispanic if technically feasible. The amendment was seconded **by ?**

Discussion on the motion continued.

Russell Chard questioned if the amendment was germane to the main motion.

Chair Rajner found the amendment germane.

Mary Fertig clarified you would be adding and not removing?

Paul Eichner questioned if the committee should leave it to staff to determine if it is technically feasible.

Patrick Sipple clarified that whether that data can or cannot be provided would be solely upon whether that data is available by the US Census Bureau for the voter tabulated districts and not whether it requires more work.

Barbara Jones asked when this would be provided if it was available by August 30th?

Patrick Sipple stated he would look for the data and work to make it happen.

Roland Foulkes asked if the county's data included what is being offered here.

Patrick Sipple stated the county strictly went by the data we are using here which are the summary data files by the US Census Bureau by voter tabulated districts and how the Census Bureau provides the data by Hispanic, non-Hispanic and the other racial categories that make up the not-Hispanic.

Roland Foulkes asked if their legal team, demographers and planners had no problem with it.

Patrick Sipple stated they, and the Supervisor of Elections had no problem with it as that is what they used.

Chair Rajner called for a vote on the amendment, but first asked if there was any objection to adopting the amendment.

Hearing no committee opposition, the chair requested a show of hands.

Heather Cunniff asked for the motion to be read in full.

Jill Young read the motion as follows:

Motion : **Roland Foulkes** made the motion to recommend staff provide Voting Age Population presentation data for each of the 12 maps presented. **Jill Young** stated there was also an amendment to this motion by **Philip Busey** that race be inclusive of Hispanics if technically feasible.

Mr. Busey confirmed that was the amendment as he had stated it.

Chair Rajner asked all in favor of the motion to raise their hand.

Jill Young counts the show of hands in favor of the motion 10, and 5 opposed.

Chair Rajner asked if there was any discussion on the motion as amended.

Michael De Gruccio asked if we tweak these maps, will the Voting Age Population information be included in these maps as well.

Patrick Sipple stated if he can get the data, the committee will have the data for any future map tweaks the committee might have.

Chair Rajner recognizing there was no further discussion asked for a vote on the motion as amended and asked all those in favor to signify with their hand. **Jill Young** counts 14 hands in favor and 1 opposed to the motion as amended.

Ron Aronson requested to table this motion to see what the data looks like.

Motion: **Mary Fertig** suggested the legal department review the criteria they have previously given us with the inclusion of what they have now given us tonight and make sure they have a complete picture inclusive of all the criteria that we are supposed to be considering when drafting these maps and making recommendations to the School Board. The motion was seconded by **Marsha Ellison**.

Chair Rajner asked if there was any discussion on the motion, then asked if there was any objection to the motion. Seeing no objection the motion was adopted.

Item 7.5 Web Tools to Compare Map Alternatives

Patrick Sipple explained the web based map tool, created by Janis Wint in the District's Demographics Department, allows users to visually compare maps side-by-side making it easier on the committee and the public. From the Redistricting Map Alternatives web page it allow you to go to this application to view each map and see the demographics for each district by left mouse clicking while viewing the maps side by side. Also added are the school locations if you zoom in. Both sides zoom in and out at the same level. This tool was made accessible to the public to show the map data. We will work with the new data set to allow uses to look at the voter age population in this tool.

Roland Foulkes thanked staff and Janis Wint and stated this is a wonderful comparison and looks forward to using it.

Leslie Brown stated she appreciated Mr. Foulkes's comment as sometimes people will think staff is not sharing things but as soon as we saw the struggle community members were having, this is not a program that is ready to use as is, but was programmed to get this ready for you all. It was something hand created for your work.

Patrick Sipple stated we kept the application simple so people didn't have to turn on and off layers and may have become confused with what data they were looking at. The Innovation Zones and municipalities can be viewed from the hard copy maps.

Chair Rajner mentioned that we just covered 7.6 (providing school locations on the maps).

Item 7.7 includes the transcribed letters and flyers in English, Spanish, Portuguese, and Haitian-Creole. These were provided to all principals, all elected officials, and all educational advisory groups.

Chair Rajner did speak during public comment portion at the Wilton Manors City Commission meeting to make sure the city of Wilton Manors knew that this process was going on and recommended they weighed in on this process especially since they are a small municipality with school district 3 and 5 running through it. He said that the committee was hearing from the public that smaller cities should be within one School Board member's district. Rajner asked them to weigh in since, as a resident of the city hadn't heard from the city on this. Rajner urged the committee members to please take the opportunity to highlight the process and bring the letters and the flyers with them to meetings.

Kristine Judeikis stated she did share this process with her city and they asked her to keep them informed. Based on what we are seeing so far, our city is not going to be affected by redistricting and thinks that is one of the reasons why the city of West Park residents may not be as excited to participate.

Chair Rajner moves to item 8.1, Committee Discussion on How to Evaluate Map Alternatives.

Ron Aronson motions to table item 8.1 until staff can come back with the additional data.

Chair Rajner states the motion to table is not in order.

Chair Rajner explains to the committee the map evaluation form would allow the committee to have a document to evaluate and score each map so the map makers would know how each of their maps were looked at and considered. This is similar to how the School Board rates applicants and will allow us to see which maps really stand out. Staff revised the format our parliamentarian had suggested to help facilitate the meetings to include only the categories found in the School Board's Redistricting Guiding Principles. The form will allow everyone to know that we evaluated their map based on objective categories rather than being subjective.

Mary Fertig thinks this is good.

Roland Foulkes motions to adopt the form.

Chair Rajner asks to hold off on motions at this time to get committee discussion and input on the form.

Ron Aronson has no problem approving the form, but does not want to evaluate the maps at this meeting.

Mary Fertig suggests adding the voting age population as another category.

Paul Eichner asked if voting precincts was another category we might want to add if we are considering splitting precincts.

Jill Young explains the categories in the draft form are from the Guiding Principles.

No one has requested to split a voter tabulated precinct at this point.

Russell Chard suggests splitting category B into two categories as a map can be compact and contiguous and not necessarily follow geographic borders, and one that precisely follows geographic borders is not going to be compact and contiguous.

Philip Busey agreed that this is a working tool for each member but is concerned that some committee members may consider Innovation Zones more important than another category. As committee member add up their totals, we as a group will have to decide if one category is more important than another rather than an overall average.

Chair Rajner stated this form will allow us to see which maps we may want to make some modification to. The form allows you to look at the maps in a very transparent way and to apply the guiding principles to allow us to say this is something we want to see go forward. The chair stated his concern that he did not want the committee to do anything subjectively in the evaluation process.

Philip Busey stated this is all highly subjective. He stated he doesn't understand what communities of interest are. So we cannot say these are entirely objective.

Chair Rajner stated that communities of interest has been somewhat defined as home owner associations or it can vary based on what community you are looking at. Once you define that community, you find out what that community has of interest. So I would assume it has a different meaning in different areas.

Chair Rajner suggests the committee moves forward to make a motion to adopt this as a worksheet after the discussion.

Russell Chard clarified we are not suggesting this is the end all, be all for the committee's recommendation.

Roland Foulkes asks that as individual committee members, we will all fill this out, and then share our comments and scores on each of the maps. Just like how we review applications to the United Way, we will have all the members give their scores and explanations, we review each map hierarchy or priority. **Mr. Foulkes** asks if this is the process we will be using here.

Chair Rajner at the next meeting we will need to discuss the process and ground rules going forward for looking at maps. This will help us as a guide as we move forward. And will help us really pull out what those really good maps may be and why we support them to the School Board.

Roosevelt Walters asked if it is necessary that committee member names be on the score sheet so that no one is intimidated.

Chair Rajner asked staff to follow up with legal counsel on whether names are necessary on the score sheet.

Russell Chard requested an editable version such as Microsoft Word or Excel allowing the form to be typed into it by the committee members.

Chair Rajner reiterates that the form will be edited to add a category for voter age population after category A, then split category B into two different categories. **Hearing no objection the committee adopted the worksheet.**

Chair Rajner asked if there was any member of the public who would like to provide comment. Seeing no comments, item 10 on the agenda was concluded.

Under item 11 on the agenda **Chair Rajner** requested input from the committee on the August 30th agenda. He stated, so far there is a presentation by Mr. Carland, a presentation from staff on the Voter Age Population and the other items Mr. Busey requested. We will go forward with evaluating the map proposals that were presented to us.

Roosevelt Walters asked how many maps the committee was going to present to the School Board.

Chair Rajner stated this would follow the committee's evaluation worksheet to see how many maps really stand out.

Mary Fertig asked if the committee will be bringing the completed worksheet to the next meeting or submit it before or after the meeting.

Patrick Sipple asked would you use the score sheet on any map you tweak?

Chair Rajner stated that right now, the committee is talking about evaluating the public's maps and we haven't talked about committee maps yet.

Barry Butin questioned if there are three maps submitted and the committee does not have to have a unanimous vote on three maps, would it be a majority vote?

Chair Rajner responded, a consensus on a majority vote would be used.

Patrick Sipple questioned if the committee comes forward with four maps, would those be inclusive or non-inclusive of any tweaked maps? The committee might arrive at four maps then come up with two tweaked maps which will then pop out two of the community maps you have moved forward through the evaluation.

Chair Rajner responded by saying we will figure that out at the next meeting. Whether we decide to forward four of the maps, or we decide to modify maps will be something the

committee will decide at the next meeting because it is part of the discussion of how we move forward.

The chair questioned whether Patrick will have the data ready in time for the next meeting for the committee to come with completed forms.

Mary Fertig asked if we are not going to be ready with all the information it is not worth meeting at this next meeting as we don't know how to rank them without all the information.

Chair Rajner asked if the committee would be comfortable evaluating the maps at the next meeting if it was within a two hour time frame.

Mary Fertig stated she would not be comfortable evaluating the maps without all of the data in the online tool. She also stated she would like to know what the deadline is to complete the evaluation form.

Chair Rajner stated evaluations will happen on your own time.

Philip Busey asked what the committee's responsibility is concerning minority language groups as it pertains to the voting right act.

Roland Foulkes commends the committee, staff, and the community for bringing forward the explosion of maps in the last few months to now have 12 maps.

Chair Rajner asked for patience as the committee moves forward with the discussion and expressed his thanks for the wonderful and collegiate experience. The future meetings will all be held in the Board Room at the KC Wright Administration building. The next meeting is Thursday, August 30th at 6:00 PM. Parking is available right outside and the gates remain open after 6:00 PM.

Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 8:17 pm.



- [People](#)
- [Business](#)
- [Geography](#)
- [Data](#)
- [Research](#)
- [Newsroom](#)

Name	Last modified	Size	Description
Parent Directory		-	
0README_SF1_v2.doc	18-Aug-2011 00:01	128K	
0README_SF1_v2.pdf	18-Aug-2011 00:01	467K	
f12010.sf1.zip	18-Aug-2011 00:01	539M	

Measuring America—People, Places, and Our Economy

ABOUT US

- [Are You in a Survey?](#)
- [FAQs](#)
- [Director's Corner](#)
- [Regional Offices](#)
- [History](#)
- [Research](#)
- [Scientific Integrity](#)
- [Jobs @ Census](#)
- [Diversity @ Census](#)
- [Business Opportunities](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

FIND DATA

- [QuickFacts](#)
- [American FactFinder](#)
- [Population Finder](#)
- [2010 Census](#)
- [Economic Census](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Training & Workshops](#)
- [Data Tools](#)
- [Catalogs](#)
- [Publications](#)

BUSINESS & INDUSTRY

- [Help With Your Forms](#)
- [Economic Indicators](#)
- [Economic Census](#)
- [E-Stats](#)
- [Foreign Trade](#)
- [Export Codes](#)
- [NAICS](#)
- [Governments](#)
- [Local Employment Dynamics](#)
- [Survey of Business Owners](#)

PEOPLE & HOUSEHOLDS

- [2010 Census](#)
- [2000 Census](#)
- [American Community Survey](#)
- [Income](#)
- [Poverty](#)
- [Population Estimates](#)
- [Population Projections](#)
- [Health Insurance](#)
- [Housing](#)
- [International](#)
- [Genealogy](#)

GEOGRAPHY

- [Maps and Mapping](#)
- [TIGER](#)
- [Gazetteer](#)
- SPECIAL TOPICS**
- [Statistics in Schools](#)
- [Tribal Resources \(AIAN\)](#)
- [Emergency Preparedness](#)
- [Statistical Abstract](#)
- [Special Census Program](#)
- [Fraudulent Activity & Scams](#)
- [Recovery Act](#)
- [USA.gov](#)
- [BusinessUSA.gov](#)

NEWSROOM

- [News Releases](#)
- [Release Schedule](#)
- [Fact for Features](#)
- [Blogs](#)
- [Multimedia](#)

CONNECT WITH US

- [Facebook](#)
- [Twitter](#)
- [Flickr](#)
- [YouTube](#)



**2010 CENSUS DATA PRODUCTS:
UNITED STATES
At a Glance
(Version 2.1)**

Revised August 2, 2012

Planned Release Date ¹	Standard Data Products	Lowest Level Geography
<p><u>States:</u> Released February 3, 2011— March 24, 2011</p> <p><u>National:</u> Released April 14, 2011</p>	<p>2010 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Summary File:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State population counts for race and Hispanic or Latino categories State housing unit counts by occupancy status (occupied units, vacant units) <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p> <p>National Summary File of Redistricting Data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population and housing unit counts for the United States, regions, divisions, and American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian Areas <p><i>Media: Internet tables, download capability</i></p>	<p>Blocks</p> <p>American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian areas</p>
<p><u>States:</u> Released May 5-26, 2011</p> <p><u>National:</u> Released May 26, 2011</p> <p><u>Geographic Update:</u> Released August 25, 2011</p>	<p>Demographic Profile:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Selected population and housing characteristics Includes Congressional Districts of the 111th Congress <p>Geographic Update (to States and National):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retabulation of Demographic Profile data for expanded geography, such as all county subdivisions, school districts, ZIP Code Tabulation Areas, Tribal Subdivisions, and more..... <p><i>Media: Internet quick tables, download capability</i></p>	<p>Places/Functioning Minor Civil Divisions²</p> <p>Census Tracts</p>
<p><u>States:</u> Released June 16, 2011 – August 25, 2011</p> <p><u>National Update:</u> Released October 27, 2011</p> <p><u>Urban/Rural Update:</u> SEP 2012</p> <p><u>Redefined CBSA Update:</u> JUL 2013 – AUG 2013</p>	<p>Summary File 1 (SF 1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population counts for 63 race categories and Hispanic or Latino..... Population counts for many detailed race and Hispanic or Latino categories, and American Indian and Alaska Native tribes..... Selected population and housing characteristics..... <p>National Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Counts for the United States, regions, divisions, and geographic areas that cross state lines such as American Indian Areas and Core-Based Statistical Areas <p>Urban/Rural Update:³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addition of urban and rural population and housing unit counts Addition of characteristics for urbanized areas and urban clusters <p>Redefined Core-Based Statistical Areas Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addition of counts and characteristics for redefined Core-Based Statistical Areas as defined by the Office of Management and Budget following the 2010 Census <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD (state and national only),⁴ download capability</i></p>	<p>Blocks</p> <p>Census Tracts</p> <p>Blocks/Census Tracts</p> <p>American Indian Areas</p> <p>Blocks</p> <p>Urban Clusters</p> <p>Principal cities</p>

OVER

Planned Release Date ¹	Standard Data Products	Lowest Level Geography
<p><u>States:</u> Released December 15, 2011 – April 26, 2012</p> <p><u>National Update:</u> April 26, 2012</p> <p><u>Urban/Rural Update:</u> JAN 2013</p>	<p>Summary File 2 (SF 2):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population and housing characteristics iterated for many detailed race and Hispanic or Latino categories, and American Indian and Alaska Native tribes <p>National Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Counts for the United States, regions, divisions, and geographic areas that cross state lines such as American Indian Areas and Core-Based Statistical Areas <p>Urban/Rural Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addition of urban and rural population and housing unit counts Addition of characteristics for urbanized areas and urban clusters <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD (state and national only),⁴ download capability</i></p>	<p>Census Tracts</p> <p>American Indian Areas</p> <p>Census Tracts Urban Clusters</p>
<p>Released May 8, 2012 – DEC 2012</p>	<p>Summary Population and Housing Characteristics Report Series (CPH-1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data tables on age, sex, race, Hispanic origin, households, families, housing tenure and occupancy, population density, and area measurements Reports for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico; and a U.S. Summary Similar to the Census 2000 PHC-1 report series <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF) only</i></p>	<p>Places</p>
<p>Released June 26, 2012 – SEP 2013</p>	<p>Population and Housing Unit Counts Report Series (CPH-2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data tables providing selected historical population and housing unit counts Includes tables on population density and area measurements Reports for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico; and a U.S. Summary Similar to the Census 2000 PHC-3 report series <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed reports)</i></p>	<p>Places</p>
<p>JAN 2013 (and every 2 years thereafter for states where redistricting occurs)</p>	<p>Congressional District Summary File (113th Congress)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retabulation of Summary File 1 for newly redistricted Congressional Districts (113th Congress) <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p>	<p>Census Tracts within Congressional Districts</p>
<p>JUN 2013 (and every 2 years thereafter for states where redistricting occurs)</p>	<p>State Legislative District Summary File</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retabulation of Summary File 1 for State Legislative Districts drawn following the 2010 Census <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p> <p>Legislative District Geographic Supplement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Files containing current geographic attributes for blocks within State Legislative Districts No characteristics – only total population and housing unit counts <p><i>Media: DVD, download capability</i></p>	<p>Places</p> <p>Blocks</p>
<p>DEC 2012</p>	<p>American Indian and Alaska Native Summary File</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population and housing characteristics iterated for many detailed American Indian and Alaska Native tribes Same content as Summary File 2 <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p>	<p>Census Tracts</p>
<p>APR 2013</p>	<p>Characteristics of American Indians and Alaska Natives by Tribe Report (CPH-3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population and housing characteristics for selected American Indian and Alaska Native tribes Similar to the Census 2000 PHC-5 report, but only for 100-percent data <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed report)</i></p>	<p>States</p>

Planned Release Date ¹	Standard Data Products	Lowest Level Geography
<i>Released</i> <i>March 24, 2011</i> – To be determined	2010 Census Briefs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis of topics including graphs and tables • Topics based on 2010 Census questions • Similar to the Census 2000 Briefs series <i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed reports)</i>	Largest Places
To be determined	2010 Census Special Reports <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed analysis of topics including graphs, tables, and maps • Similar to the Census 2000 Special Report series <i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed reports)</i>	Largest Places
DEC 2012 – APR 2013	Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) Files <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes age, sex, race, Hispanic or Latino origin, household type and relationship, and tenure data with identifying information removed <i>Media: DVD, download capability</i>	PUMAs of 100,000+ population
Released	Special Data Products	Geography
<i>Released</i> <i>April 20, 2011</i>	Advance Group Quarters Summary File <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data table (P42 from SF 1) showing the population in group quarters (7 types) <i>Media: Download capability</i>	States, Counties, Census Tracts, Blocks
<i>Released</i> <i>September 27, 2011</i>	Same-Sex Couple Households Supplemental Tables <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides preferred 2010 Census estimates, 2010 Census counts as reported, and ACS estimates (with margin of error) • Same-sex spouse responses are tabulated as reported (that is, not edited during processing to “unmarried partner,” as in other standard 2010 Census or American Community Survey data products) • Data on households with a partner or spouse who is the same sex as the householder is cross-tabulated by presence of householders’ own children <i>Media: Internet tables</i>	U.S., States
<i>Ongoing (First released</i> <i>September 27, 2011)</i>	2010 Census Population and Housing Tables (CPH-Ts) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Topics and format vary • Similar to the Census 2000 PHC-T series of tables <i>Media: Internet tables</i>	Various
<i>Released</i> <i>July 30, 2012</i>	2010 Modified Race Data Summary File <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Race is modified to assign each person in the Census “Some Other Race” category into an Office of Management and Budget standard race category (White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, and Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander) • 31 race groups, 5-year age groups, and Hispanic origin • Similar to the Census 2000 Modified Race Data Summary File <i>Media: Internet downloadable file and summary table</i>	U.S., States, Counties

FOOTNOTES –

¹ The dates in this column refer to the first medium of release. Actual release dates are italicized.

² Functioning Minor Civil Divisions (MCDs) in 20 states.

³ Includes the production of a download file that provides users with urban/rural population and housing unit counts not available with previous SF 1 releases. The lowest level geography is blocks.

⁴ One DVD product containing state and national data will be produced following the release of the National Update.

GENERAL – The 2010 Census data products meet a variety of data needs for different segments of the data user community. The data products described here provide a summary of the general tabulation and publication program for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico (which is treated as a state equivalent for most data products).

OVER

Summary Files will include all or some of the following: quick tables (including demographic profiles) and geographic comparison tables. Thematic maps now are available as a function in American FactFinder. Download capability includes FTP and American FactFinder download options.

This document is available on the Internet at <<http://www.census.gov/population/www/censusdata/c2010products.pdf>>.

For a list of 2010 Census geographic products and other geographic information, see <<http://www.census.gov/geo/www/2010census/>>.

Geographic Levels for Census Summary Tables PL 94-171 and SF1

SOURCE: http://www.census.gov/rdo/pdf/0GEOID_Construction_for_Matching.pdf

This listing provides data users the components of the common field needed to join the 2010 Census [P.L. 94-171] Summary file data to the GEOID10 field which exists in the 2010 Census [P.L. 94-171] TIGER/Line shapefiles as provided by the Census Bureau for each state. Each level of geography requires a specific concatenation of fields in order to create this common identifier. These fields should be generated as text fields to prevent the loss of preceding zeroes.

Assumptions:

- 1) These GEOIDs are being constructed for use with the 2010 Census [P.L. 94-171] TIGER/Line shapefiles.
- 2) Entities that cross state boundaries will have to construct separate GEOIDs for the portion of their entity which resides within each state.
- 3) The GEOIDs created from the 2010 Census [P.L. 94-171] Summary files are exclusively for joining these files to the 2010 Census geography.

Area Type	PL 94-171 TIGER/Line Shapefile	PL 94-171 Summary Level	Fields in the 2010 Census PL 94-171 Summary Files to Concatenate to match the TIGER/Line Shapefile GEOID10
Alaska Native Regional Corporation [Alaska Only]	tl_2010_02_anrc10.shp	230	STATE + ANRC
American Indian Area/Alaska Native Area/Hawaiian Home Land	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_aiannh10.shp	280	See notes below
American Indian Area/Alaska Native Area (Reservation or Statistical Entity Only)	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_aiannh10.shp	283	STATE + AIANHH + AIHHTLI
American Indian Area (Off-Reservation Trust Land Only)/Hawaiian Home Land	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_aiannh10.shp	286	STATE + AIANHH + AIHHTLI
American Indian Tribal Subdivision	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_aits10.shp	281	STATE + AIANHH + AITSCE
County Subdivision	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_cousub10.shp	060	STATE + COUNTY + COUSUB
Subminor Civil Division [Puerto Rico only]	tl_2010_72_submcd10.shp	067	STATE + COUNTY + COUSUB + SUBMCD
Consolidated City	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_concity10.shp	170	STATE + CONCIT
Place	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_place10.shp	160	STATE + PLACE
Census Tract	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_tract10.shp	140	STATE + COUNTY + TRACT
Block Group	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_bg10.shp, tl_2010_<state-county FIPS>_bg10.shp	150	STATE + COUNTY + TRACT + BLKGRP
Block	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_tabblock10.shp, tl_2010_<state-county FIPS>_tabblock10.shp	750	STATE + COUNTY + TRACT + BLOCK
Congressional District (111th Congress)	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_cd111.shp	500	STATE + CD
State Legislative District (Upper Chamber)	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_sldu10.shp	610	STATE + SLDU
State Legislative District (Lower Chamber)	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_sldl10.shp	620	STATE + SLDL
Voting District	tl_2010_<state-county FIPS>_vtd10.shp	700	STATE + COUNTY + VTD (See notes below)
School District (Elementary)	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_elsd10.shp	950	STATE + SDELM
School District (Secondary)	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_scsd10.shp	960	STATE + SDSEC
School District (Unified)	tl_2010_<state FIPS>_unsd10.shp	970	STATE + SDUNI

Notes:
 Use the TIGER/Line Shapefile field AIANNHCE10 to match the PL Data field AIANNH. For AIANNH records that have both Reservation and Off-reservation trust land portions, dissolve the two polygons to create a single polygon. The single polygon sharing the AIANNH code reflects the area for which data are provided in summary level 280.

VTD codes are of a variable length between one and six characters. To accommodate this, the VTD field of the summary file extracts left pads the field with blanks to create a standardized six character field. It is necessary to remove these blanks prior to concatenating the fields to generate a GEOID that will match the GEOID10 field in the TIGER/Line shapefiles. There are several ways to accomplish this, one example being to use the TRIM() function in Access.

Summary File 1 Note:
 The summary levels available in Summary File 1 (SF1) may differ from those available in the P.L. 94-171 Summary File. Please refer to Chapter 4 of the 2010 Census Summary File 1 technical documentation when working with that data set.
<http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/sf1.pdf>


2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

**BROWARD COUNTY
SCHOOL BOARD PRECINCT
POPULATION DATA 2000**

PRECINCT	School District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	Asian	Other	Multiple Races
Sub-total	1	235,330	48,290	140,939	36,047	3,974	1,830	4,250
Percentage	1		20.52%	59.89%	15.32%	1.69%	0.78%	1.81%
Sub-total	2	274,981	72,181	134,220	51,112	9,505	1,844	6,119
Percentage	2		26.25%	185.95%	38.08%	18.60%	19.40%	331.83%
Sub-total	3	210,343	28,187	159,284	14,580	3,897	973	3,422
Percentage	3		13.40%	75.73%	6.93%	1.85%	0.46%	1.63%
Sub-total	4	211,313	33,305	136,861	30,344	5,273	1,245	4,285
Percentage	4		15.76%	64.77%	14.36%	2.50%	0.59%	2.03%
Sub-total	5	225,470	19,487	45,524	146,835	2,140	1,203	10,281
Percentage	5		8.64%	20.19%	65.12%	0.95%	0.53%	4.56%
Sub-total	6	253,048	45,248	176,005	18,222	8,026	1,493	4,054
Percentage	6		17.88%	69.55%	7.20%	3.17%	0.59%	1.60%
Sub-total	7	212,529	24,952	148,839	28,165	3,232	1,586	5,755
Percentage	7		11.74%	70.03%	13.25%	1.52%	0.75%	2.71%
Total		1,623,014	271,650	941,672	325,305	36,047	10,174	38,166
Percentage			16.74%	58.02%	20.04%	2.22%	0.63%	2.35%

STAFF WORK PROPOSAL 13A WITH MODIFICATIONS PRESENTED 12/13/11



Comparison Table 1 - Total Population Comparison for Committee Proposal 13A as Modified
As Approved by the Orange County School Board on December 13, 2011

COMMITTEE PROPOSAL 13A MODIFIED BY DISTRICTS AND RACE (TOTAL POPULATION)								
District (Board Member)	Total Population	Mean Population	% Deviation	White	Black	Asian	Other	Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	164,495	163,708	0.48%	125,651	15,036	8,539	15,269	42,641
2 (Daryl Flynn)	166,197	163,708	1.52%	116,998	17,538	8,796	22,865	70,551
3 (Rick Roach)	169,170	163,708	3.34%	119,218	18,127	8,137	23,688	71,351
4 (Vicky Bell)	158,701	163,708	-3.06%	112,295	17,281	15,934	13,191	27,735
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	169,401	163,708	3.48%	52,000	94,401	4,851	18,149	36,975
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	159,354	163,708	-2.66%	96,317	45,749	5,125	12,163	23,532
7 (Christine Moore)	158,638	163,708	-3.10%	106,316	30,109	5,199	17,014	35,459
TOTAL	1,145,956	1,145,956		728,795	238,241	56,581	122,339	308,244

CURRENT OCPS BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT BOUNDARIES BY RACE (TOTAL POPULATION)								
District (Board Member)	Total Population	Mean Population	% Deviation	White	Black	Asian	Other	Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	152,716	163,708	-6.71%	118,856	13,291	7,287	13,282	35,735
2 (Daryl Flynn)	208,150	163,708	27.15%	146,513	21,969	11,201	28,467	89,009
3 (Rick Roach)	150,783	163,708	-7.90%	107,334	15,942	6,858	20,649	60,081
4 (Vicky Bell)	203,297	163,708	24.18%	136,137	30,034	18,567	18,559	39,842
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	141,687	163,708	-13.45%	44,684	78,183	3,674	15,146	32,524
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	128,012	163,708	-21.80%	73,117	41,914	3,820	9,161	16,656
7 (Christine Moore)	161,311	163,708	-1.46%	102,154	36,908	5,174	17,075	34,397
TOTAL	1,145,956	1,145,956		728,795	238,241	56,581	122,339	308,244

COMMITTEE PROPOSAL 13A MODIFIED BY DISTRICTS AND RACE (TOTAL POPULATION BY %)								
	Total Population	Mean Population	% Deviation	% White	% Black	% Asian	% Other	% Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	164,495	163,708	0.48%	76.39%	9.14%	5.19%	9.28%	25.92%
2 (Daryl Flynn)	166,197	163,708	1.52%	70.40%	10.55%	5.29%	13.76%	42.45%
3 (Rick Roach)	169,170	163,708	3.34%	70.47%	10.72%	4.81%	14.00%	42.18%
4 (Vicky Bell)	158,701	163,708	-3.06%	70.76%	10.89%	10.04%	8.31%	17.48%
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	169,401	163,708	3.48%	30.70%	55.73%	2.86%	10.71%	21.83%
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	159,354	163,708	-2.66%	60.44%	28.71%	3.22%	7.63%	14.77%
7 (Christine Moore)	158,638	163,708	-3.10%	67.02%	18.98%	3.28%	10.73%	22.35%
TOTAL	1,145,956	1,145,956		63.60%	20.79%	4.94%	10.68%	26.90%

CURRENT OCPS BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT BOUNDARIES BY RACE (TOTAL POPULATION BY %)								
	Total Population	Mean Population	% Deviation	% White	% Black	% Asian	% Other	% Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	152,716	163,708	-6.71%	77.83%	8.70%	4.77%	8.70%	23.40%
2 (Daryl Flynn)	208,150	163,708	27.15%	70.39%	10.55%	5.38%	13.68%	42.76%
3 (Rick Roach)	150,783	163,708	-7.90%	71.18%	10.57%	4.55%	13.69%	39.85%
4 (Vicky Bell)	203,297	163,708	24.18%	66.96%	14.77%	9.13%	9.13%	19.60%
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	141,687	163,708	-13.45%	31.54%	55.18%	2.59%	10.69%	22.95%
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	128,012	163,708	-21.80%	57.12%	32.74%	2.98%	7.16%	13.01%
7 (Christine Moore)	161,311	163,708	-1.46%	63.33%	22.88%	3.21%	10.59%	21.32%
TOTAL	1,145,956	1,145,956		63.60%	20.79%	4.94%	10.68%	26.90%

Comparison Table 1 - Voting Age Population Comparison for Committee Proposal 13A as Modified
As Approved by the Orange County School Board on December 13, 2011


COMMITTEE PROPOSAL 13A BY DISTRICTS AND RACE (VOTING AGE POPULATION)								
District (Board Member)	Voting Age Pop.	Mean Population	% Deviation	White	Black	Asian	Other	Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	133,851	125,116	6.98%	104,168	11,904	7,033	10,746	31,656
2 (Daryl Flynn)	123,943	125,116	-0.94%	89,294	12,822	6,668	15,159	49,663
3 (Rick Roach)	128,257	125,116	2.51%	93,215	12,713	6,274	16,055	50,995
4 (Vicky Bell)	121,902	125,116	-2.57%	87,896	12,540	12,493	8,973	20,267
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	126,362	125,116	1.00%	43,619	65,925	3,961	12,857	27,153
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	124,083	125,116	-0.83%	80,708	30,995	4,152	8,228	17,181
7 (Christine Moore)	117,411	125,116	-6.16%	81,793	20,868	3,954	10,796	23,370
TOTAL	875,809	875,812		580,693	167,767	44,535	82,814	220,285

CURRENT OCPS BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT BOUNDARIES BY RACE (VOTING AGE POPULATION)								
District (Board Member)	Voting Age Pop.	Mean Population	% Deviation	White	Black	Asian	Other	Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	125,292	125,116	0.14%	99,171	10,659	6,040	9,422	26,744
2 (Daryl Flynn)	155,945	125,116	24.64%	112,383	16,035	8,569	18,958	62,748
3 (Rick Roach)	115,136	125,116	-7.98%	84,642	11,111	5,302	14,081	43,225
4 (Vicky Bell)	154,878	125,116	23.79%	106,429	21,362	14,514	12,573	28,845
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	106,145	125,116	-15.16%	37,504	54,837	3,039	10,765	23,911
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	99,351	125,116	-20.59%	61,589	28,522	3,115	6,125	12,080
7 (Christine Moore)	119,062	125,116	-4.84%	78,975	25,241	3,956	10,890	22,732
TOTAL	875,809	875,812		580,693	167,767	44,535	82,814	220,285

COMMITTEE PROPOSAL 13A BY DISTRICTS AND RACE (VOTING AGE POPULATION BY %)								
	Voting Age Pop.	Mean Population	% Deviation	% White	% Black	% Asian	% Other	% Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	133,851	125,116	6.98%	77.82%	8.89%	5.25%	8.03%	23.65%
2 (Daryl Flynn)	123,943	125,116	-0.94%	72.04%	10.35%	5.38%	12.23%	40.07%
3 (Rick Roach)	128,257	125,116	2.51%	72.68%	9.91%	4.89%	12.52%	39.76%
4 (Vicky Bell)	121,902	125,116	-2.57%	72.10%	10.29%	10.25%	7.36%	16.63%
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	126,362	125,116	1.00%	34.52%	52.17%	3.13%	10.17%	21.49%
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	124,083	125,116	-0.83%	65.04%	24.98%	3.35%	6.63%	13.85%
7 (Christine Moore)	117,411	125,116	-6.16%	69.66%	17.77%	3.37%	9.20%	19.90%
TOTAL	875,809	875,812		66.30%	19.16%	5.09%	9.46%	25.15%

CURRENT OCPS BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT BOUNDARIES BY RACE (VOTING AGE POPULATION BY %)								
	Voting Age Pop.	Mean Population	% Deviation	% White	% Black	% Asian	% Other	% Hispanic
1 (Joie Cadle)	125,292	125,116	0.14%	79.15%	8.51%	4.82%	7.52%	21.35%
2 (Daryl Flynn)	155,945	125,116	24.64%	72.07%	10.28%	5.49%	12.16%	40.24%
3 (Rick Roach)	115,136	125,116	-7.98%	73.51%	9.65%	4.60%	12.23%	37.54%
4 (Vicky Bell)	154,878	125,116	23.79%	68.72%	13.79%	9.37%	8.12%	18.62%
5 (Kathleen Gordon)	106,145	125,116	-15.16%	35.33%	51.66%	2.86%	10.14%	22.53%
6 (Nancy Robbinson)	99,351	125,116	-20.59%	61.99%	28.71%	3.14%	6.17%	12.16%
7 (Christine Moore)	119,062	125,116	-4.84%	66.33%	21.20%	3.32%	9.15%	19.09%
TOTAL	875,809	875,812		66.30%	19.16%	5.09%	9.46%	25.15%

Palm Beach County School Board Member Districts - 2011



Legend

- Florida's Turnpike
- Interstate 95
- Major Roadways
- Loxahatchee Refuge
- Water Catchment Area
- Waterways

Proposed School Board Districts


Districts

- D1
- D2
- D3
- D4
- D5
- D6
- D7


District	Total Population	Population Deviation	Deviation %	Total VAP	Total VAP %	All Black Population	All Black Population %	All Black VAP	All Black VAP %	All Hispanic	All Hispanic Population %	All Hispanic VAP	All Hispanic VAP %	Single Race	Single Race White %	Single Race White VAP %	Non-Hispanic Black	Non-Hispanic Black %	Non-Hispanic Black VAP %	Hispanic Black	Hispanic Black %	Hispanic Black VAP %	Hispanic Non Black	Hispanic Non Black %	Hispanic Non Black VAP %	Other Race	Other Race %	Other Race VAP %
D1	183241	-5350	-2.84	150851	82.32	8615	4.7	5770	3.82	18605	10.15	13551	8.98	150322	82.04	84.4	8119	4.43	3.6	496	0.27	0.22	18109	9.88	8.76	6195	3.38	3.01
D2	180734	-7857	-4.17	140239	77.59	31907	17.65	21443	15.29	73023	40.4	52757	37.62	73354	40.59	45.55	28950	16.02	13.95	2957	1.64	1.34	70066	38.77	36.28	5407	2.99	2.87
D3	188067	-524	-0.28	156106	83.01	19981	10.62	13182	8.44	24282	12.91	17164	11	138159	73.46	77.87	19018	10.11	8.08	963	0.51	0.36	23319	12.4	10.63	6608	3.51	3.05
D4	190542	1951	1.03	160140	84.04	24488	12.85	16715	10.44	31524	16.54	22962	14.34	129973	68.21	72.93	23207	12.18	9.94	1281	0.67	0.5	30243	15.87	13.84	5838	3.06	2.79
D5	197386	8795	4.66	161355	81.75	10823	5.48	7817	4.84	26571	13.46	20249	12.55	152235	77.13	78.97	10060	5.1	4.54	763	0.39	0.31	25808	13.07	12.24	8520	4.32	3.95
D6	182708	-5883	-3.12	133849	73.26	42414	23.21	29194	21.81	38004	20.8	25991	19.42	96482	52.81	55.53	40934	22.4	21.18	1480	0.81	0.63	36524	19.99	18.79	7288	3.99	3.86
D7	197456	8865	4.7	148710	75.31	102949	52.14	71580	48.13	38814	19.66	27481	18.48	53046	26.86	31.78	100374	50.83	47.08	2575	1.3	1.06	36239	18.35	17.42	5222	2.64	2.66

Broward County Commission District Map

Approved December 13, 2011



This map is for conceptual purposes only and should not be used for legal boundary or census determinations.



**Broward County Commission District Map
Approved December 13, 2011**

District ID	2010 Total Population	TOTAL POPULATION (2010 Census)								2005-2009 ACS	
		Black %	Hispanic %	Non-Hispanic White %	Non-Hispanic Black %	Hispanic Black %	Hispanic Excluding Hispanic Black %	Asian %	Other Races %	West Indies %	Haitian %
1	195,013	23	28	45	22	1	27	4	5	12	4
2	189,104	26	19	52	25	1	18	3	5	9	5
3	196,926	22	23	50	21	1	21	4	6	10	4
4	189,066	18	16	63	18	1	16	1	3	8	7
5	187,830	10	31	53	9	1	30	5	6	5	1
6	200,161	20	35	43	18	2	33	3	4	9	4
7	192,041	20	23	55	18	1	22	2	4	7	4
8	199,769	42	38	17	40	3	35	5	6	19	7
9	197,570	70	12	17	69	1	11	2	2	28	12

District ID	2010 Total Voting Age Population	VOTING AGE POPULATION (2010 Census)								
		Black %	Hispanic %	Non-Hispanic White %	Non-Hispanic Black %	Hispanic Black %	Hispanic Excluding Hispanic Black %	Asian %	Other Races %	
1	148,121	22	27	47	21	1	26	4	5	
2	150,733	23	18	56	22	1	17	3	5	
3	147,484	20	22	54	19	1	21	4	5	
4	157,835	15	15	67	15	1	14	1	3	
5	141,481	10	30	55	9	1	29	5	6	
6	162,794	17	34	47	16	2	32	3	4	
7	157,064	17	22	58	16	1	21	3	4	
8	143,067	40	38	18	38	2	36	5	6	
9	147,576	66	12	20	65	1	11	2	3	

District ID	REGISTERED VOTERS (2010 General Election)			ACTUAL VOTERS (2010 General Election)		
	Total	Black %	Hispanic %	Total	Black %	Hispanic %
1	123,001	18	17	51,803	19	11
2	111,733	18	11	45,402	19	7
3	121,159	14	13	49,910	15	8
4	112,623	11	7	50,431	10	4
5	118,218	8	20	50,989	8	15
6	119,736	14	23	43,796	15	17
7	116,183	13	12	45,601	12	7
8	109,703	37	29	41,624	45	22
9	111,044	62	7	42,936	66	4

Data Source: MyDistrictBuilder™, the online tool for both Floridians and their legislators to suggest new district boundary lines
<http://floridareistricting.cloudapp.net/MyDistrictBuilder.aspx>

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 1**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 1 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	194,327	510	0.26%	60,577	31.17%	133,750	68.83%	91,249	46.96%	34,405	17.70%	643	0.33%	4,331	2.23%	110	0.06%	564	0.29%	2,448	1.26%
2	187,543	-6,274	-3.24%	73,535	39.21%	114,008	60.79%	46,804	24.96%	53,336	28.44%	224	0.12%	9,497	5.06%	77	0.04%	870	0.46%	3,200	1.71%
3	202,099	8,282	4.27%	38,034	18.82%	164,065	81.18%	119,484	59.12%	36,828	18.22%	425	0.21%	4,300	2.13%	109	0.05%	545	0.27%	2,374	1.17%
4	192,567	-1,250	-0.64%	39,800	20.67%	152,767	79.33%	104,085	54.05%	36,305	18.85%	254	0.13%	8,235	4.28%	75	0.04%	920	0.48%	2,893	1.50%
5	192,184	-1,633	-0.84%	25,457	13.25%	166,727	86.75%	61,249	31.87%	97,603	50.79%	333	0.17%	3,859	2.01%	83	0.04%	640	0.33%	2,960	1.54%
6	183,887	-9,930	-5.12%	55,656	30.27%	128,231	69.73%	97,635	53.10%	18,837	10.24%	251	0.14%	8,317	4.52%	78	0.04%	677	0.37%	2,436	1.32%
7	204,110	10,293	5.31%	33,950	16.63%	170,160	83.37%	118,725	58.17%	41,304	20.24%	291	0.14%	4,533	2.22%	75	0.04%	2,054	1.01%	3,178	1.56%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 2**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 2 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	194,670	853	0.44%	66,326	34.07%	128,344	65.93%	53,218	27.34%	63,786	32.77%	270	0.14%	7,066	3.63%	78	0.04%	802	0.41%	3,124	1.60%
2	185,289	-8,528	-4.40%	67,137	36.23%	118,152	63.77%	83,425	45.02%	23,816	12.85%	584	0.32%	7,015	3.79%	109	0.06%	627	0.34%	2,576	1.39%
3	189,231	-4,586	-2.37%	58,725	31.03%	130,506	68.97%	107,237	56.67%	12,737	6.73%	339	0.18%	7,412	3.92%	88	0.05%	497	0.26%	2,196	1.16%
4	198,360	4,543	2.34%	26,117	13.17%	172,243	86.83%	87,160	43.94%	76,808	38.72%	352	0.18%	4,413	2.22%	77	0.04%	644	0.32%	2,789	1.41%
5	202,753	8,936	4.61%	38,613	19.04%	164,140	80.96%	89,718	44.25%	64,728	31.92%	336	0.17%	5,243	2.59%	112	0.06%	850	0.42%	3,153	1.56%
6	192,333	-1,484	-0.77%	35,145	18.27%	157,188	81.73%	104,029	54.09%	44,639	23.21%	296	0.15%	4,646	2.42%	70	0.04%	964	0.50%	2,544	1.32%
7	194,081	264	0.14%	34,946	18.01%	159,135	81.99%	114,444	58.97%	32,104	16.54%	244	0.13%	7,277	3.75%	73	0.04%	1,886	0.97%	3,107	1.60%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 3**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 3 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	208,840	15,023	7.75%	29,906	14.32%	178,934	85.68%	149,188	71.44%	23,118	11.07%	335	0.16%	3,030	1.45%	71	0.03%	924	0.44%	2,268	1.09%
2	193,297	-520	-0.27%	38,628	19.98%	154,669	80.02%	91,860	47.52%	55,459	28.69%	376	0.19%	3,065	1.59%	94	0.05%	1,193	0.62%	2,622	1.36%
3	192,890	-927	-0.48%	34,567	17.92%	158,323	82.08%	71,290	36.96%	79,113	41.01%	332	0.17%	3,895	2.02%	85	0.04%	769	0.40%	2,839	1.47%
4	191,989	-1,828	-0.94%	46,004	23.96%	145,985	76.04%	67,726	35.28%	67,002	34.90%	572	0.30%	6,080	3.17%	126	0.07%	1,060	0.55%	3,419	1.78%
5	197,528	3,711	1.91%	52,009	26.33%	145,519	73.67%	93,181	47.17%	39,675	20.09%	349	0.18%	8,231	4.17%	104	0.05%	829	0.42%	3,150	1.59%
6	186,241	-7,576	-3.91%	46,110	24.76%	140,131	75.24%	96,875	52.02%	31,124	16.71%	246	0.13%	8,370	4.49%	65	0.03%	833	0.45%	2,618	1.41%
7	185,932	-7,885	-4.07%	79,785	42.91%	106,147	57.09%	69,111	37.17%	23,127	12.44%	211	0.11%	10,401	5.59%	62	0.03%	662	0.36%	2,573	1.38%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 4**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 4 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	200,474	6,657	3.43%	62,010	30.93%	138,464	69.07%	89,508	44.65%	40,516	20.21%	651	0.32%	4,346	2.17%	116	0.06%	621	0.31%	2,706	1.35%
2	175,336	-18,481	-9.54%	70,280	40.08%	105,056	59.92%	45,133	25.74%	46,664	26.61%	202	0.12%	9,291	5.30%	70	0.04%	808	0.46%	2,888	1.65%
3	208,595	14,778	7.62%	32,846	15.75%	175,749	84.25%	113,835	54.57%	55,127	26.43%	412	0.20%	3,387	1.62%	101	0.05%	510	0.24%	2,377	1.14%
4	182,846	-10,971	-5.66%	38,481	21.05%	144,365	78.95%	95,966	52.48%	36,320	19.86%	237	0.13%	8,021	4.39%	83	0.05%	900	0.49%	2,838	1.55%
5	198,950	5,133	2.65%	34,712	17.45%	164,238	82.55%	67,815	34.09%	85,984	43.22%	328	0.16%	5,595	2.81%	98	0.05%	907	0.46%	3,511	1.76%
6	182,141	-11,676	-6.02%	55,695	30.58%	126,446	69.42%	102,260	56.14%	12,525	6.88%	273	0.15%	8,446	4.64%	78	0.04%	560	0.31%	2,304	1.26%
7	208,375	14,558	7.51%	32,985	15.83%	175,390	84.17%	124,714	59.85%	41,482	19.91%	318	0.15%	3,986	1.91%	61	0.03%	1,964	0.94%	2,865	1.37%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 5**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 5 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	196,346	2,529	1.30%	59,920	30.52%	136,426	69.48%	78,114	39.78%	50,062	25.50%	358	0.18%	4,255	2.17%	113	0.06%	670	0.34%	2,854	1.45%
2	184,381	-9,436	-4.87%	72,481	39.31%	111,900	60.69%	61,966	33.61%	35,643	19.33%	230	0.12%	10,345	5.61%	57	0.03%	791	0.43%	2,868	1.56%
3	208,375	14,558	7.51%	38,342	18.40%	170,033	81.60%	136,325	65.42%	25,522	12.25%	698	0.33%	4,588	2.20%	115	0.06%	533	0.26%	2,252	1.08%
4	187,068	-6,749	-3.48%	40,333	21.56%	146,735	78.44%	100,530	53.74%	34,176	18.27%	244	0.13%	8,000	4.28%	75	0.04%	908	0.49%	2,802	1.50%
5	191,415	-2,402	-1.24%	27,030	14.12%	164,385	85.88%	54,956	28.71%	101,785	53.18%	346	0.18%	3,479	1.82%	81	0.04%	631	0.33%	3,107	1.62%
6	191,030	-2,787	-1.44%	56,419	29.53%	134,611	70.47%	96,814	50.68%	25,806	13.51%	258	0.14%	8,212	4.30%	92	0.05%	752	0.39%	2,677	1.40%
7	198,102	4,285	2.21%	32,484	16.40%	165,618	83.60%	110,526	55.79%	45,624	23.03%	287	0.14%	4,193	2.12%	74	0.04%	1,985	1.00%	2,929	1.48%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 6**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 6 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	191,259	-2,558	-1.32%	59,242	30.97%	132,017	69.03%	86,681	45.32%	36,831	19.26%	643	0.34%	4,577	2.39%	120	0.06%	581	0.30%	2,584	1.35%
2	189,321	-4,496	-2.32%	76,308	40.31%	113,013	59.69%	48,599	25.67%	50,240	26.54%	240	0.13%	9,859	5.21%	80	0.04%	871	0.46%	3,124	1.65%
3	214,401	20,584	10.62%	38,180	17.81%	176,221	82.19%	145,674	67.94%	22,010	10.27%	393	0.18%	5,172	2.41%	99	0.05%	582	0.27%	2,291	1.07%
4	181,728	-12,089	-6.24%	40,188	22.11%	141,540	77.89%	91,895	50.57%	38,191	21.02%	226	0.12%	7,575	4.17%	76	0.04%	857	0.47%	2,720	1.50%
5	195,069	1,252	0.65%	23,418	12.00%	171,651	88.00%	50,396	25.83%	114,103	58.49%	361	0.19%	2,897	1.49%	69	0.04%	636	0.33%	3,189	1.63%
6	187,790	-6,027	-3.11%	56,269	29.96%	131,521	70.04%	95,561	50.89%	24,125	12.85%	271	0.14%	8,197	4.36%	90	0.05%	698	0.37%	2,579	1.37%
7	197,149	3,332	1.72%	33,404	16.94%	163,745	83.06%	120,425	61.08%	33,118	16.80%	287	0.15%	4,795	2.43%	73	0.04%	2,045	1.04%	3,002	1.52%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 7**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 7 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	196,346	2,529	1.30%	59,920	30.52%	136,426	69.48%	78,114	39.78%	50,062	25.50%	358	0.18%	4,255	2.17%	113	0.06%	670	0.34%	2,854	1.45%
2	184,396	-9,421	-4.86%	72,482	39.31%	111,914	60.69%	61,978	33.61%	35,643	19.33%	231	0.13%	10,345	5.61%	57	0.03%	791	0.43%	2,869	1.56%
3	208,778	14,961	7.72%	38,450	18.42%	170,328	81.58%	136,547	65.40%	25,568	12.25%	699	0.33%	4,602	2.20%	115	0.06%	534	0.26%	2,263	1.08%
4	187,068	-6,749	-3.48%	40,333	21.56%	146,735	78.44%	100,530	53.74%	34,176	18.27%	244	0.13%	8,000	4.28%	75	0.04%	908	0.49%	2,802	1.50%
5	195,938	2,121	1.09%	28,235	14.41%	167,703	85.59%	53,945	27.53%	105,327	53.76%	363	0.19%	3,985	2.03%	76	0.04%	757	0.39%	3,250	1.66%
6	186,130	-7,687	-3.97%	55,111	29.61%	131,019	70.39%	97,624	52.45%	22,219	11.94%	239	0.13%	7,693	4.13%	97	0.05%	625	0.34%	2,522	1.35%
7	198,061	4,244	2.19%	32,478	16.40%	165,583	83.60%	110,493	55.79%	45,623	23.03%	287	0.14%	4,192	2.12%	74	0.04%	1,985	1.00%	2,929	1.48%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 8**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 8 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	206,534	12,717	6.56%	63,832	30.91%	142,702	69.09%	92,920	44.99%	41,077	19.89%	665	0.32%	4,537	2.20%	117	0.06%	626	0.30%	2,760	1.34%
2	182,471	-11,346	-5.85%	72,284	39.61%	110,187	60.39%	49,274	27.00%	47,092	25.81%	218	0.12%	9,684	5.31%	72	0.04%	836	0.46%	3,011	1.65%
3	202,099	8,282	4.27%	38,034	18.82%	164,065	81.18%	119,484	59.12%	36,828	18.22%	425	0.21%	4,300	2.13%	109	0.05%	545	0.27%	2,374	1.17%
4	192,567	-1,250	-0.64%	39,800	20.67%	152,767	79.33%	104,085	54.05%	36,305	18.85%	254	0.13%	8,235	4.28%	75	0.04%	920	0.48%	2,893	1.50%
5	190,170	-3,647	-1.88%	25,040	13.17%	165,130	86.83%	60,077	31.59%	97,363	51.20%	333	0.18%	3,722	1.96%	83	0.04%	631	0.33%	2,921	1.54%
6	178,766	-15,051	-7.77%	54,069	30.25%	124,697	69.75%	94,666	52.96%	18,649	10.43%	235	0.13%	8,061	4.51%	76	0.04%	658	0.37%	2,352	1.32%
7	204,110	10,293	5.31%	33,950	16.63%	170,160	83.37%	118,725	58.17%	41,304	20.24%	291	0.14%	4,533	2.22%	75	0.04%	2,054	1.01%	3,178	1.56%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 9**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 9 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	200,474	6,657	3.43%	62,010	30.93%	138,464	69.07%	89,508	44.65%	40,516	20.21%	651	0.32%	4,346	2.17%	116	0.06%	621	0.31%	2,706	1.35%
2	175,336	-18,481	-9.54%	70,280	40.08%	105,056	59.92%	45,133	25.74%	46,664	26.61%	202	0.12%	9,291	5.30%	70	0.04%	808	0.46%	2,888	1.65%
3	206,475	12,658	6.53%	35,643	17.26%	170,832	82.74%	130,672	63.29%	33,222	16.09%	404	0.20%	3,642	1.76%	103	0.05%	511	0.25%	2,278	1.10%
4	186,703	-7,114	-3.67%	41,360	22.15%	145,343	77.85%	96,044	51.44%	37,421	20.04%	234	0.13%	7,892	4.23%	75	0.04%	884	0.47%	2,793	1.50%
5	200,676	6,859	3.54%	28,036	13.97%	172,640	86.03%	58,613	29.21%	104,194	51.92%	346	0.17%	5,031	2.51%	88	0.04%	856	0.43%	3,512	1.75%
6	182,141	-11,676	-6.02%	55,695	30.58%	126,446	69.42%	102,260	56.14%	12,525	6.88%	273	0.15%	8,446	4.64%	78	0.04%	560	0.31%	2,304	1.26%
7	204,912	11,095	5.72%	33,985	16.59%	170,927	83.41%	117,001	57.10%	44,076	21.51%	311	0.15%	4,424	2.16%	77	0.04%	2,030	0.99%	3,008	1.47%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 10**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 10 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	202,717	8,900	4.59%	61,996	30.58%	140,721	69.42%	95,880	47.30%	36,428	17.97%	662	0.33%	4,509	2.22%	121	0.06%	579	0.29%	2,542	1.25%
2	180,403	-13,414	-6.92%	71,118	39.42%	109,285	60.58%	43,173	23.93%	52,662	29.19%	206	0.11%	9,225	5.11%	76	0.04%	843	0.47%	3,100	1.72%
3	201,502	7,685	3.97%	32,364	16.06%	169,138	83.94%	112,363	55.76%	50,124	24.88%	388	0.19%	3,256	1.62%	92	0.05%	527	0.26%	2,388	1.19%
4	189,393	-4,424	-2.28%	40,994	21.64%	148,399	78.36%	97,401	51.43%	38,658	20.41%	246	0.13%	8,163	4.31%	85	0.04%	927	0.49%	2,919	1.54%
5	190,995	-2,822	-1.46%	30,990	16.23%	160,005	83.77%	63,040	33.01%	86,982	45.54%	325	0.17%	5,364	2.81%	99	0.05%	849	0.44%	3,346	1.75%
6	184,918	-8,899	-4.59%	57,559	31.13%	127,359	68.87%	102,701	55.54%	12,788	6.92%	286	0.15%	8,597	4.65%	71	0.04%	580	0.31%	2,336	1.26%
7	206,789	12,972	6.69%	31,988	15.47%	174,801	84.53%	124,673	60.29%	40,976	19.82%	308	0.15%	3,958	1.91%	63	0.03%	1,965	0.95%	2,858	1.38%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 11**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 11 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	197,711	3,894	2.01%	58,049	29.36%	139,662	70.64%	92,198	46.63%	39,438	19.95%	648	0.33%	4,114	2.08%	121	0.06%	558	0.28%	2,585	1.31%
2	186,650	-7,167	-3.70%	75,307	40.35%	111,343	59.65%	47,687	25.55%	49,754	26.66%	224	0.12%	9,664	5.18%	77	0.04%	866	0.46%	3,071	1.65%
3	213,479	19,662	10.14%	32,841	15.38%	180,638	84.62%	153,555	71.93%	19,741	9.25%	415	0.19%	3,475	1.63%	98	0.05%	988	0.46%	2,366	1.11%
4	192,838	-979	-0.51%	40,369	20.93%	152,469	79.07%	100,616	52.18%	39,282	20.37%	254	0.13%	8,418	4.37%	83	0.04%	891	0.46%	2,925	1.52%
5	186,160	-7,657	-3.95%	24,384	13.10%	161,776	86.90%	36,471	19.59%	118,295	63.54%	342	0.18%	2,700	1.45%	72	0.04%	827	0.44%	3,069	1.65%
6	186,651	-7,166	-3.70%	57,047	30.56%	129,604	69.44%	104,595	56.04%	13,343	7.15%	290	0.16%	8,383	4.49%	73	0.04%	557	0.30%	2,363	1.27%
7	193,228	-589	-0.30%	39,012	20.19%	154,216	79.81%	104,109	53.88%	38,765	20.06%	248	0.13%	6,318	3.27%	83	0.04%	1,583	0.82%	3,110	1.61%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 12**

Current Diversity


School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 12 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	204,223	10,406	5.37%	62,428	30.57%	141,795	69.43%	73,903	36.19%	58,646	28.72%	355	0.17%	4,820	2.36%	129	0.06%	789	0.39%	3,153	1.54%
2	176,909	-16,908	-8.72%	78,610	44.44%	98,299	55.56%	60,890	34.42%	24,191	13.67%	189	0.11%	9,870	5.58%	56	0.03%	661	0.37%	2,442	1.38%
3	204,981	11,164	5.76%	32,360	15.79%	172,621	84.21%	149,631	73.00%	15,571	7.60%	652	0.32%	3,726	1.82%	95	0.05%	793	0.39%	2,153	1.05%
4	194,992	1,175	0.61%	39,117	20.06%	155,875	79.94%	115,296	59.13%	27,320	14.01%	231	0.12%	8,634	4.43%	76	0.04%	1,379	0.71%	2,939	1.51%
5	197,685	3,868	2.00%	29,428	14.89%	168,257	85.11%	66,972	33.88%	93,011	47.05%	371	0.19%	3,992	2.02%	93	0.05%	691	0.35%	3,127	1.58%
6	184,079	-9,738	-5.02%	48,285	26.23%	135,794	73.77%	101,200	54.98%	22,869	12.42%	317	0.17%	8,098	4.40%	72	0.04%	674	0.37%	2,564	1.39%
7	193,848	31	0.02%	36,781	18.97%	157,067	81.03%	71,339	36.80%	77,010	39.73%	306	0.16%	3,932	2.03%	86	0.04%	1,283	0.66%	3,111	1.60%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 1



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division


Created by: City of North Lauderdale Commissioner Jerry Graziose and Andrew Disbury

Date: 4/9/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.




2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 1 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
 Created by: City of North Lauderdale Commissioner Jerry Graziose and Andrew Disbury
 Date: 4/9/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 1 WITH INNOVATION ZONES**



Legend

□ School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |

Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS School Boundaries Department, Broward County GIS Division
 Created by: City of North Lauderdale Commissioner Jerry Graziose and Andrew Disbury
 Date: 4/9/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 1**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 1 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	243,775	-5,949	-2.38%	78,432	32.17%	165,343	67.83%	106,131	43.54%	48,189	19.77%	910	0.37%	5,447	2.23%	140	0.06%	892	0.37%	3,634	1.49%
2	254,434	4,710	1.89%	99,741	39.20%	154,693	60.80%	59,230	23.28%	75,912	29.84%	373	0.15%	12,774	5.02%	96	0.04%	1,417	0.56%	4,891	1.92%
3	244,969	-4,755	-1.90%	49,591	20.24%	195,378	79.76%	133,808	54.62%	51,467	21.01%	522	0.21%	5,100	2.08%	124	0.05%	780	0.32%	3,577	1.46%
4	252,501	2,777	1.11%	54,431	21.56%	198,070	78.44%	128,927	51.06%	51,604	20.44%	349	0.14%	10,860	4.30%	103	0.04%	1,380	0.55%	4,847	1.92%
5	249,948	224	0.09%	33,516	13.41%	216,432	86.59%	69,343	27.74%	136,427	54.58%	471	0.19%	4,727	1.89%	109	0.04%	919	0.37%	4,436	1.77%
6	246,633	-3,091	-1.24%	76,779	31.13%	169,854	68.87%	126,959	51.48%	26,128	10.59%	390	0.16%	11,047	4.48%	106	0.04%	1,098	0.45%	4,126	1.67%
7	255,806	6,082	2.44%	45,757	17.89%	210,049	82.11%	136,419	53.33%	59,950	23.44%	379	0.15%	5,737	2.24%	84	0.03%	2,666	1.04%	4,814	1.88%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 1**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 1 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	194,327	510	0.26%	60,577	31.17%	133,750	68.83%	91,249	46.96%	34,405	17.70%	643	0.33%	4,331	2.23%	110	0.06%	564	0.29%	2,448	1.26%
2	187,543	-6,274	-3.24%	73,535	39.21%	114,008	60.79%	46,804	24.96%	53,336	28.44%	224	0.12%	9,497	5.06%	77	0.04%	870	0.46%	3,200	1.71%
3	202,099	8,282	4.27%	38,034	18.82%	164,065	81.18%	119,484	59.12%	36,828	18.22%	425	0.21%	4,300	2.13%	109	0.05%	545	0.27%	2,374	1.17%
4	192,567	-1,250	-0.64%	39,800	20.67%	152,767	79.33%	104,085	54.05%	36,305	18.85%	254	0.13%	8,235	4.28%	75	0.04%	920	0.48%	2,893	1.50%
5	192,184	-1,633	-0.84%	25,457	13.25%	166,727	86.75%	61,249	31.87%	97,603	50.79%	333	0.17%	3,859	2.01%	83	0.04%	640	0.33%	2,960	1.54%
6	183,887	-9,930	-5.12%	55,656	30.27%	128,231	69.73%	97,635	53.10%	18,837	10.24%	251	0.14%	8,317	4.52%	78	0.04%	677	0.37%	2,436	1.32%
7	204,110	10,293	5.31%	33,950	16.63%	170,160	83.37%	118,725	58.17%	41,304	20.24%	291	0.14%	4,533	2.22%	75	0.04%	2,054	1.01%	3,178	1.56%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 1**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 1

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	47	19	6	4	1	7	10
D2	50	18	6	4	0	1	21
D3	54	25	5	7	0	6	11
D4	45	19	7	4	0	0	15
D5	47	20	7	5	0	4	11
D6	32	21	6	4	0	0	1
D7	44	19	5	5	0	5	10

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 1**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1	1	4
2	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1		1		1	3
3	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
4	NORTHEAST HIGH			1		1		1	3
5	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
6	COOPER CITY HIGH			1			1		2
7	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
8	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH					1		1	2
9	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1					2
10	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
11	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
12	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 1**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
3	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
5	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
9	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
10	MCARTHUR HIGH	1						
11	MIRAMAR HIGH		1					
12	MONARCH HIGH							1
13	STRANAHAN HIGH			1				
14	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
15	WESTERN HIGH						1	

**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 2**



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County
GIS Division
Created by: Roland Foulkes



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 2 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Legend

□ School Board Member Districts

Unshaded areas represent county/regional facilities or remain unincorporated.

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Roland Foulkes

Date: 5/19/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 2 WITH INNOVATION ZONES




Legend

School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS School Boundaries Department, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Roland Foulkes



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

Date: 5/19/2012

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 2**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 2 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	256,903	7,179	2.87%	87,794	34.17%	169,109	65.83%	62,264	24.24%	91,114	35.47%	408	0.16%	9,293	3.62%	100	0.04%	1,265	0.49%	4,665	1.82%
2	239,383	-10,341	-4.14%	89,728	37.48%	149,655	62.52%	101,516	42.41%	32,923	13.75%	861	0.36%	9,273	3.87%	136	0.06%	1,033	0.43%	3,913	1.63%
3	250,329	605	0.24%	81,529	32.57%	168,800	67.43%	136,403	54.49%	17,518	7.00%	493	0.20%	9,719	3.88%	116	0.05%	819	0.33%	3,732	1.49%
4	250,743	1,019	0.41%	33,679	13.43%	217,064	86.57%	99,615	39.73%	106,351	42.41%	467	0.19%	5,384	2.15%	88	0.04%	933	0.37%	4,226	1.69%
5	255,680	5,956	2.39%	49,832	19.49%	205,848	80.51%	101,167	39.57%	91,559	35.81%	449	0.18%	6,454	2.52%	145	0.06%	1,275	0.50%	4,799	1.88%
6	241,282	-8,442	-3.38%	47,349	19.62%	193,933	80.38%	118,746	49.21%	63,604	26.36%	365	0.15%	5,864	2.43%	85	0.04%	1,313	0.54%	3,956	1.64%
7	253,746	4,022	1.61%	48,336	19.05%	205,410	80.95%	141,106	55.61%	46,608	18.37%	351	0.14%	9,705	3.82%	92	0.04%	2,514	0.99%	5,034	1.98%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 2**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 2 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	194,670	853	0.44%	66,326	34.07%	128,344	65.93%	53,218	27.34%	63,786	32.77%	270	0.14%	7,066	3.63%	78	0.04%	802	0.41%	3,124	1.60%
2	185,289	-8,528	-4.40%	67,137	36.23%	118,152	63.77%	83,425	45.02%	23,816	12.85%	584	0.32%	7,015	3.79%	109	0.06%	627	0.34%	2,576	1.39%
3	189,231	-4,586	-2.37%	58,725	31.03%	130,506	68.97%	107,237	56.67%	12,737	6.73%	339	0.18%	7,412	3.92%	88	0.05%	497	0.26%	2,196	1.16%
4	198,360	4,543	2.34%	26,117	13.17%	172,243	86.83%	87,160	43.94%	76,808	38.72%	352	0.18%	4,413	2.22%	77	0.04%	644	0.32%	2,789	1.41%
5	202,753	8,936	4.61%	38,613	19.04%	164,140	80.96%	89,718	44.25%	64,728	31.92%	336	0.17%	5,243	2.59%	112	0.06%	850	0.42%	3,153	1.56%
6	192,333	-1,484	-0.77%	35,145	18.27%	157,188	81.73%	104,029	54.09%	44,639	23.21%	296	0.15%	4,646	2.42%	70	0.04%	964	0.50%	2,544	1.32%
7	194,081	264	0.14%	34,946	18.01%	159,135	81.99%	114,444	58.97%	32,104	16.54%	244	0.13%	7,277	3.75%	73	0.04%	1,886	0.97%	3,107	1.60%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 2**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 2

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	48	19	5	3	0	6	15
D2	52	19	7	5	1	2	18
D3	50	22	8	8	0	5	7
D4	46	25	6	4	0	3	8
D5	39	18	5	3	0	0	13
D6	43	17	5	5	0	6	10
D7	41	21	6	5	0	1	8

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 2**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH					1	1	1	3
2	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1	1	1			3
3	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1	1	1					3
4	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH				1	1			2
5	COCONUT CREEK HIGH					1	1		2
6	COOPER CITY HIGH		1	1					2
7	CORAL GLADES HIGH						1	1	2
8	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH						1	1	2
9	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH						1	1	2
10	EVERGLADES HIGH	1	1						2
11	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH	1	1						2
12	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH		1	1					2
13	MCARTHUR HIGH	1	1						2
14	MONARCH HIGH						1	1	2
15	NORTHEAST HIGH				1	1			2
16	PIPER HIGH				1	1			2
17	PLANTATION HIGH				1	1			2
18	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1	1				2
19	STRANAHAN HIGH			1	1				2
20	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1	1					2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 2**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CYPRESS BAY HIGH			1				
2	DILLARD HIGH				1			
3	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH							1
4	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
5	MIRAMAR HIGH	1						
6	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH						1	
7	WESTERN HIGH			1				

**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 3**



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County
GIS Division
Created by: Roland Foulkes



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 3 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
 Created by: Roland Foulkes

Date: 5/19/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 3 WITH INNOVATION ZONES




Legend

School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS School Boundaries Department, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Roland Foulkes



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

Date: 5/19/2012

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 3**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 3 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	244,267	-5,457	-2.19%	37,066	15.17%	207,201	84.83%	166,833	68.30%	32,000	13.10%	401	0.16%	3,503	1.43%	81	0.03%	1,171	0.48%	3,212	1.31%
2	239,698	-10,026	-4.01%	50,702	21.15%	188,996	78.85%	101,718	42.44%	77,548	32.35%	470	0.20%	3,677	1.53%	115	0.05%	1,562	0.65%	3,906	1.63%
3	251,223	1,499	0.60%	46,009	18.31%	205,214	81.69%	83,455	33.22%	110,793	44.10%	445	0.18%	4,956	1.97%	95	0.04%	1,161	0.46%	4,309	1.72%
4	255,577	5,853	2.34%	61,648	24.12%	193,929	75.88%	81,447	31.87%	96,802	37.88%	864	0.34%	7,859	3.08%	162	0.06%	1,523	0.60%	5,272	2.06%
5	256,090	6,366	2.55%	69,655	27.20%	186,435	72.80%	113,061	44.15%	55,896	21.83%	494	0.19%	10,442	4.08%	136	0.05%	1,318	0.51%	5,088	1.99%
6	244,036	-5,688	-2.28%	62,467	25.60%	181,569	74.40%	120,390	49.33%	44,230	18.12%	379	0.16%	10,922	4.48%	89	0.04%	1,325	0.54%	4,234	1.73%
7	257,175	7,451	2.98%	110,700	43.04%	146,475	56.96%	93,913	36.52%	32,408	12.60%	341	0.13%	14,333	5.57%	84	0.03%	1,092	0.42%	4,304	1.67%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 3**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 3 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	208,840	15,023	7.75%	29,906	14.32%	178,934	85.68%	149,188	71.44%	23,118	11.07%	335	0.16%	3,030	1.45%	71	0.03%	924	0.44%	2,268	1.09%
2	193,297	-520	-0.27%	38,628	19.98%	154,669	80.02%	91,860	47.52%	55,459	28.69%	376	0.19%	3,065	1.59%	94	0.05%	1,193	0.62%	2,622	1.36%
3	192,890	-927	-0.48%	34,567	17.92%	158,323	82.08%	71,290	36.96%	79,113	41.01%	332	0.17%	3,895	2.02%	85	0.04%	769	0.40%	2,839	1.47%
4	191,989	-1,828	-0.94%	46,004	23.96%	145,985	76.04%	67,726	35.28%	67,002	34.90%	572	0.30%	6,080	3.17%	126	0.07%	1,060	0.55%	3,419	1.78%
5	197,528	3,711	1.91%	52,009	26.33%	145,519	73.67%	93,181	47.17%	39,675	20.09%	349	0.18%	8,231	4.17%	104	0.05%	829	0.42%	3,150	1.59%
6	186,241	-7,576	-3.91%	46,110	24.76%	140,131	75.24%	96,875	52.02%	31,124	16.71%	246	0.13%	8,370	4.49%	65	0.03%	833	0.45%	2,618	1.41%
7	185,932	-7,885	-4.07%	79,785	42.91%	106,147	57.09%	69,111	37.17%	23,127	12.44%	211	0.11%	10,401	5.59%	62	0.03%	662	0.36%	2,573	1.38%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 3**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 3

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	32	18	5	3	0	2	4
D2	54	19	4	5	1	11	14
D3	51	21	7	5	0	5	13
D4	38	22	6	3	0	2	5
D5	67	25	9	11	0	3	19
D6	36	17	6	1	0	0	12
D7	41	19	5	5	0	0	12

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 3**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	STRANAHAN HIGH	1	1	1	1	1			5
2	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH		1	1	1	1			4
3	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1	1	4
4	PLANTATION HIGH				1	1	1	1	4
5	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1	1	1	1				4
6	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1	1	1	1		4
7	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH		1	1	1				3
8	COCONUT CREEK HIGH		1	1	1				3
9	DILLARD HIGH		1	1	1				3
10	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1	1	1		3
11	HALLANDALE HIGH	1	1	1					3
12	MIRAMAR HIGH				1	1	1		3
13	MONARCH HIGH		1	1	1				3
14	WESTERN HIGH					1	1	1	3
15	COOPER CITY HIGH					1	1		2
16	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1	1			2
17	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH	1	1						2
18	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH	1	1						2
19	EVERGLADES HIGH						1	1	2
20	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH						1	1	2
21	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH	1	1						2
22	MCARTHUR HIGH				1	1			2
23	NORTHEAST HIGH	1	1						2
24	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH					1	1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 3**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH						1	
2	CYPRESS BAY HIGH							1
3	WEST BROWARD HIGH							1

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 4



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Rose Waters and Roosevelt Walters



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 4 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |


Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Rose Waters and Roosevelt Walters



Date: 5/24/2012


At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 4 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Legend

School Board Member Districts



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS School Boundaries Department, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Rose Waters and Roosevelt Walters

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 4**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 4 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	252,538	2,814	1.13%	80,453	31.86%	172,085	68.14%	103,454	40.97%	57,122	22.62%	929	0.37%	5,462	2.16%	147	0.06%	977	0.39%	3,994	1.58%
2	237,438	-12,286	-4.92%	95,300	40.14%	142,138	59.86%	57,326	24.14%	66,131	27.85%	337	0.14%	12,506	5.27%	88	0.04%	1,319	0.56%	4,431	1.87%
3	255,927	6,203	2.48%	42,605	16.65%	213,322	83.35%	127,396	49.78%	76,983	30.08%	506	0.20%	3,998	1.56%	119	0.05%	741	0.29%	3,579	1.40%
4	244,190	-5,534	-2.22%	53,432	21.88%	190,758	78.12%	120,165	49.21%	53,373	21.86%	331	0.14%	10,660	4.37%	107	0.04%	1,342	0.55%	4,780	1.96%
5	255,779	6,055	2.42%	44,543	17.41%	211,236	82.59%	76,591	29.94%	120,513	47.12%	450	0.18%	6,920	2.71%	127	0.05%	1,346	0.53%	5,289	2.07%
6	244,969	-4,755	-1.90%	77,722	31.73%	167,247	68.27%	133,483	54.49%	17,226	7.03%	433	0.18%	11,127	4.54%	103	0.04%	919	0.38%	3,956	1.61%
7	257,225	7,501	3.00%	44,192	17.18%	213,033	82.82%	142,402	55.36%	58,329	22.68%	408	0.16%	5,019	1.95%	71	0.03%	2,508	0.98%	4,296	1.67%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 4**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 4 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	200,474	6,657	3.43%	62,010	30.93%	138,464	69.07%	89,508	44.65%	40,516	20.21%	651	0.32%	4,346	2.17%	116	0.06%	621	0.31%	2,706	1.35%
2	175,336	-18,481	-9.54%	70,280	40.08%	105,056	59.92%	45,133	25.74%	46,664	26.61%	202	0.12%	9,291	5.30%	70	0.04%	808	0.46%	2,888	1.65%
3	208,595	14,778	7.62%	32,846	15.75%	175,749	84.25%	113,835	54.57%	55,127	26.43%	412	0.20%	3,387	1.62%	101	0.05%	510	0.24%	2,377	1.14%
4	182,846	-10,971	-5.66%	38,481	21.05%	144,365	78.95%	95,966	52.48%	36,320	19.86%	237	0.13%	8,021	4.39%	83	0.05%	900	0.49%	2,838	1.55%
5	198,950	5,133	2.65%	34,712	17.45%	164,238	82.55%	67,815	34.09%	85,984	43.22%	328	0.16%	5,595	2.81%	98	0.05%	907	0.46%	3,511	1.76%
6	182,141	-11,676	-6.02%	55,695	30.58%	126,446	69.42%	102,260	56.14%	12,525	6.88%	273	0.15%	8,446	4.64%	78	0.04%	560	0.31%	2,304	1.26%
7	208,375	14,558	7.51%	32,985	15.83%	175,390	84.17%	124,714	59.85%	41,482	19.91%	318	0.15%	3,986	1.91%	61	0.03%	1,964	0.94%	2,865	1.37%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 4**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 4

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	51	21	7	4	1	7	11
D2	46	16	5	4	0	1	20
D3	52	26	5	4	0	8	9
D4	45	20	7	4	0	0	14
D5	45	19	7	3	0	0	16
D6	41	21	7	8	0	0	5
D7	39	18	4	6	0	7	4

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 4**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1	1	1		1	4
2	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
3	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1			1		3
4	NORTHEAST HIGH			1		1		1	3
5	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
6	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
7	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
8	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
9	PIPER HIGH					1	1		2
10	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
11	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
12	STRANAHAN HIGH			1			1		2
13	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 4**


	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	COOPER CITY HIGH						1	
2	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
3	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
4	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
5	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
6	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
7	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
8	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
9	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
10	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
11	MCARTHUR HIGH	1						
12	MONARCH HIGH							1
13	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
14	WESTERN HIGH						1	

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 5



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County
GIS Division
Created by: Philip Busey



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 5 WITH MUNICIPALITIES




Legend

□ School Board Member Districts

Unshaded areas represent county/regional facilities or remain unincorporated.

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Philip Busey



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 5 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Legend
 School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |

Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS School Boundaries Department, Broward County GIS Division
 Created by: Philip Busey



Date: 6/6/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 5**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 5 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	249,791	67	0.03%	77,826	31.16%	171,965	68.84%	89,577	35.86%	71,112	28.47%	499	0.20%	5,363	2.15%	144	0.06%	1,056	0.42%	4,214	1.69%
2	248,416	-1,308	-0.52%	98,626	39.70%	149,790	60.30%	79,583	32.04%	50,071	20.16%	372	0.15%	13,929	5.61%	78	0.03%	1,298	0.52%	4,459	1.79%
3	250,236	512	0.21%	49,747	19.88%	200,489	80.12%	154,076	61.57%	35,805	14.31%	939	0.38%	5,453	2.18%	134	0.05%	740	0.30%	3,342	1.34%
4	247,690	-2,034	-0.81%	55,563	22.43%	192,127	77.57%	125,110	50.51%	49,879	20.14%	334	0.13%	10,608	4.28%	102	0.04%	1,349	0.54%	4,745	1.92%
5	251,044	1,320	0.53%	35,758	14.24%	215,286	85.76%	62,431	24.87%	142,340	56.70%	485	0.19%	4,278	1.70%	99	0.04%	942	0.38%	4,711	1.88%
6	253,081	3,357	1.34%	77,122	30.47%	175,959	69.53%	123,201	48.68%	35,850	14.17%	388	0.15%	10,739	4.24%	121	0.05%	1,196	0.47%	4,464	1.76%
7	247,808	-1,916	-0.77%	43,605	17.60%	204,203	82.40%	126,839	51.18%	64,620	26.08%	377	0.15%	5,322	2.15%	84	0.03%	2,571	1.04%	4,390	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 5**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 5 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	196,346	2,529	1.30%	59,920	30.52%	136,426	69.48%	78,114	39.78%	50,062	25.50%	358	0.18%	4,255	2.17%	113	0.06%	670	0.34%	2,854	1.45%
2	184,381	-9,436	-4.87%	72,481	39.31%	111,900	60.69%	61,966	33.61%	35,643	19.33%	230	0.12%	10,345	5.61%	57	0.03%	791	0.43%	2,868	1.56%
3	208,375	14,558	7.51%	38,342	18.40%	170,033	81.60%	136,325	65.42%	25,522	12.25%	698	0.33%	4,588	2.20%	115	0.06%	533	0.26%	2,252	1.08%
4	187,068	-6,749	-3.48%	40,333	21.56%	146,735	78.44%	100,530	53.74%	34,176	18.27%	244	0.13%	8,000	4.28%	75	0.04%	908	0.49%	2,802	1.50%
5	191,415	-2,402	-1.24%	27,030	14.12%	164,385	85.88%	54,956	28.71%	101,785	53.18%	346	0.18%	3,479	1.82%	81	0.04%	631	0.33%	3,107	1.62%
6	191,030	-2,787	-1.44%	56,419	29.53%	134,611	70.47%	96,814	50.68%	25,806	13.51%	258	0.14%	8,212	4.30%	92	0.05%	752	0.39%	2,677	1.40%
7	198,102	4,285	2.21%	32,484	16.40%	165,618	83.60%	110,526	55.79%	45,624	23.03%	287	0.14%	4,193	2.12%	74	0.04%	1,985	1.00%	2,929	1.48%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 5**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 5

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	47	19	5	4	1	6	12
D2	46	18	6	4	0	0	18
D3	53	24	6	7	0	6	10
D4	50	22	8	4	0	0	16
D5	46	21	7	4	0	4	10
D6	35	20	6	4	0	0	5
D7	42	17	4	6	0	7	8

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 5**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1		1		1	3
2	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
3	COOPER CITY HIGH		1	1			1		3
4	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1		3
5	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
6	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
7	MCARTHUR HIGH	1		1					2
8	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
9	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
10	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
11	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1			2
12	STRANAHAN HIGH			1		1			2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 5**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
3	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
5	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
9	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
10	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH			1				
11	MONARCH HIGH							1
12	NORTHEAST HIGH			1				
13	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
14	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1					
15	WESTERN HIGH						1	

**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 6**



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County
GIS Division
Created by: Ron Aronson



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 6 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Ron Aronson

Date: 6/14/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.




**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 6 WITH INNOVATION ZONES**



Legend

□ School Board Member Districts



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS School Boundaries Department, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Ron Aronson

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

Date: 6/14/2012

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 6**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 6 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	241,175	-8,549	-3.42%	77,049	31.95%	164,126	68.05%	100,463	41.66%	52,175	21.63%	910	0.38%	5,698	2.36%	148	0.06%	895	0.37%	3,837	1.59%
2	255,740	6,016	2.41%	103,266	40.38%	152,474	59.62%	61,484	24.04%	71,080	27.79%	394	0.15%	13,219	5.17%	101	0.04%	1,417	0.55%	4,779	1.87%
3	257,410	7,686	3.08%	49,712	19.31%	207,698	80.69%	166,660	64.74%	29,642	11.52%	484	0.19%	6,289	2.44%	124	0.05%	868	0.34%	3,631	1.41%
4	242,391	-7,333	-2.94%	55,624	22.95%	186,767	77.05%	114,759	47.34%	55,588	22.93%	316	0.13%	10,071	4.15%	100	0.04%	1,325	0.55%	4,608	1.90%
5	256,464	6,740	2.70%	30,895	12.05%	225,569	87.95%	55,701	21.72%	160,207	62.47%	490	0.19%	3,489	1.36%	84	0.03%	914	0.36%	4,684	1.83%
6	251,772	2,048	0.82%	77,572	30.81%	174,200	69.19%	123,634	49.11%	33,764	13.41%	431	0.17%	10,861	4.31%	124	0.05%	1,121	0.45%	4,265	1.69%
7	243,114	-6,610	-2.65%	44,129	18.15%	198,985	81.85%	138,116	56.81%	47,221	19.42%	369	0.15%	6,065	2.49%	81	0.03%	2,612	1.07%	4,521	1.86%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 6**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 6 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	191,259	-2,558	-1.32%	59,242	30.97%	132,017	69.03%	86,681	45.32%	36,831	19.26%	643	0.34%	4,577	2.39%	120	0.06%	581	0.30%	2,584	1.35%
2	189,321	-4,496	-2.32%	76,308	40.31%	113,013	59.69%	48,599	25.67%	50,240	26.54%	240	0.13%	9,859	5.21%	80	0.04%	871	0.46%	3,124	1.65%
3	214,401	20,584	10.62%	38,180	17.81%	176,221	82.19%	145,674	67.94%	22,010	10.27%	393	0.18%	5,172	2.41%	99	0.05%	582	0.27%	2,291	1.07%
4	181,728	-12,089	-6.24%	40,188	22.11%	141,540	77.89%	91,895	50.57%	38,191	21.02%	226	0.12%	7,575	4.17%	76	0.04%	857	0.47%	2,720	1.50%
5	195,069	1,252	0.65%	23,418	12.00%	171,651	88.00%	50,396	25.83%	114,103	58.49%	361	0.19%	2,897	1.49%	69	0.04%	636	0.33%	3,189	1.63%
6	187,790	-6,027	-3.11%	56,269	29.96%	131,521	70.04%	95,561	50.89%	24,125	12.85%	271	0.14%	8,197	4.36%	90	0.05%	698	0.37%	2,579	1.37%
7	197,149	3,332	1.72%	33,404	16.94%	163,745	83.06%	120,425	61.08%	33,118	16.80%	287	0.15%	4,795	2.43%	73	0.04%	2,045	1.04%	3,002	1.52%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 6**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 6

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	59	22	8	8	1	5	15
D2	49	18	5	4	0	3	19
D3	39	17	5	3	0	6	8
D4	51	21	7	4	0	0	19
D5	54	27	6	5	0	5	11
D6	33	21	6	4	0	0	2
D7	34	15	5	5	0	4	5

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 6**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH	1		1		1	1		4
2	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1	1	1			3
3	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1		1		1	3
4	NORTHEAST HIGH			1	1	1			3
5	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1		3
6	STRANAHAN HIGH	1		1		1			3
7	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1			1	2
8	COOPER CITY HIGH	1					1		2
9	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			1	2
10	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1		1			2
11	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1					2
12	MCARTHUR HIGH	1	1						2
13	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
14	PLANTATION HIGH			1			1		2
15	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
16	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 6**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
3	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
4	DILLARD HIGH					1		
5	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
9	MONARCH HIGH							1
10	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
11	WESTERN HIGH						1	

**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 7**



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Nathalie Lynch-Walsh



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 7 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Legend

School Board Member Districts

Unshaded areas represent county/regional facilities or remain unincorporated.

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Nathalie Lynch-Walsh

Date: 7/3/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 7 WITH INNOVATION ZONES




Legend

□ School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS Demographics & Student Assignments Department, Broward County GIS Division

Created by: Nathalie Lynch-Walsh
Date: 7/3/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 7**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 7 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	249,791	67	0.03%	77,826	31.16%	171,965	68.84%	89,577	35.86%	71,112	28.47%	499	0.20%	5,363	2.15%	144	0.06%	1,056	0.42%	4,214	1.69%
2	248,436	-1,288	-0.52%	98,627	39.70%	149,809	60.30%	79,599	32.04%	50,071	20.15%	373	0.15%	13,929	5.61%	78	0.03%	1,298	0.52%	4,461	1.80%
3	250,758	1,034	0.41%	49,894	19.90%	200,864	80.10%	154,338	61.55%	35,883	14.31%	940	0.37%	5,469	2.18%	134	0.05%	742	0.30%	3,358	1.34%
4	247,690	-2,034	-0.81%	55,563	22.43%	192,127	77.57%	125,110	50.51%	49,879	20.14%	334	0.13%	10,608	4.28%	102	0.04%	1,349	0.54%	4,745	1.92%
5	256,391	6,667	2.67%	36,863	14.38%	219,528	85.62%	60,277	23.51%	147,647	57.59%	501	0.20%	4,954	1.93%	93	0.04%	1,127	0.44%	4,929	1.92%
6	247,249	-2,475	-0.99%	75,878	30.69%	171,371	69.31%	125,120	50.60%	30,469	12.32%	370	0.15%	10,048	4.06%	127	0.05%	1,009	0.41%	4,228	1.71%
7	247,751	-1,973	-0.79%	43,596	17.60%	204,155	82.40%	126,796	51.18%	64,616	26.08%	377	0.15%	5,321	2.15%	84	0.03%	2,571	1.04%	4,390	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 7**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 7 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	196,346	2,529	1.30%	59,920	30.52%	136,426	69.48%	78,114	39.78%	50,062	25.50%	358	0.18%	4,255	2.17%	113	0.06%	670	0.34%	2,854	1.45%
2	184,396	-9,421	-4.86%	72,482	39.31%	111,914	60.69%	61,978	33.61%	35,643	19.33%	231	0.13%	10,345	5.61%	57	0.03%	791	0.43%	2,869	1.56%
3	208,778	14,961	7.72%	38,450	18.42%	170,328	81.58%	136,547	65.40%	25,568	12.25%	699	0.33%	4,602	2.20%	115	0.06%	534	0.26%	2,263	1.08%
4	187,068	-6,749	-3.48%	40,333	21.56%	146,735	78.44%	100,530	53.74%	34,176	18.27%	244	0.13%	8,000	4.28%	75	0.04%	908	0.49%	2,802	1.50%
5	195,938	2,121	1.09%	28,235	14.41%	167,703	85.59%	53,945	27.53%	105,327	53.76%	363	0.19%	3,985	2.03%	76	0.04%	757	0.39%	3,250	1.66%
6	186,130	-7,687	-3.97%	55,111	29.61%	131,019	70.39%	97,624	52.45%	22,219	11.94%	239	0.13%	7,693	4.13%	97	0.05%	625	0.34%	2,522	1.35%
7	198,061	4,244	2.19%	32,478	16.40%	165,583	83.60%	110,493	55.79%	45,623	23.03%	287	0.14%	4,192	2.12%	74	0.04%	1,985	1.00%	2,929	1.48%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 7**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 7

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	47	19	5	4	1	6	12
D2	46	18	6	4	0	0	18
D3	54	24	6	7	0	6	11
D4	50	22	8	4	0	0	16
D5	47	23	8	4	0	3	9
D6	33	18	5	4	0	1	5
D7	42	17	4	6	0	7	8

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 7**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1		1		1	3
2	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
3	COOPER CITY HIGH		1	1			1		3
4	DILLARD HIGH			1		1	1		3
5	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1		3
6	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
7	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
8	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1		1			2
9	MCARTHUR HIGH	1		1					2
10	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
11	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
12	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
13	STRANAHAN HIGH			1		1			2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 7**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
3	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
5	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
9	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH			1				
10	MONARCH HIGH							1
11	NORTHEAST HIGH			1				
12	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
13	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1					
14	WESTERN HIGH						1	

**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 8**



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County
GIS Division
Created by: Melissa Gleissner



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 8 WITH MUNICIPALITIES




Legend

School Board Member Districts

Unshaded areas represent county/regional facilities or remain unincorporated.

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Melissa Gleissner



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 8 WITH INNOVATION ZONES




Legend

School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS Demographics & Student Assignments Department, Broward County GIS Division

Created by: Melissa Gleissner

Date: 7/9/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 8**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 8 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	260,771	11,047	4.42%	82,873	31.78%	177,898	68.22%	108,035	41.43%	57,970	22.23%	946	0.36%	5,715	2.19%	148	0.06%	990	0.38%	4,094	1.57%
2	246,926	-2,798	-1.12%	98,026	39.70%	148,900	60.30%	62,779	25.42%	66,675	27.00%	361	0.15%	13,003	5.27%	93	0.04%	1,363	0.55%	4,626	1.87%
3	244,969	-4,755	-1.90%	49,591	20.24%	195,378	79.76%	133,808	54.62%	51,467	21.01%	522	0.21%	5,100	2.08%	124	0.05%	780	0.32%	3,577	1.46%
4	252,501	2,777	1.11%	54,431	21.56%	198,070	78.44%	128,927	51.06%	51,604	20.44%	349	0.14%	10,860	4.30%	103	0.04%	1,380	0.55%	4,847	1.92%
5	247,424	-2,300	-0.92%	32,979	13.33%	214,445	86.67%	67,916	27.45%	136,115	55.01%	471	0.19%	4,563	1.84%	109	0.04%	904	0.37%	4,367	1.76%
6	239,669	-10,055	-4.03%	74,590	31.12%	165,079	68.88%	122,933	51.29%	25,896	10.80%	366	0.15%	10,714	4.47%	101	0.04%	1,069	0.45%	4,000	1.67%
7	255,806	6,082	2.44%	45,757	17.89%	210,049	82.11%	136,419	53.33%	59,950	23.44%	379	0.15%	5,737	2.24%	84	0.03%	2,666	1.04%	4,814	1.88%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 8**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 8 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	206,534	12,717	6.56%	63,832	30.91%	142,702	69.09%	92,920	44.99%	41,077	19.89%	665	0.32%	4,537	2.20%	117	0.06%	626	0.30%	2,760	1.34%
2	182,471	-11,346	-5.85%	72,284	39.61%	110,187	60.39%	49,274	27.00%	47,092	25.81%	218	0.12%	9,684	5.31%	72	0.04%	836	0.46%	3,011	1.65%
3	202,099	8,282	4.27%	38,034	18.82%	164,065	81.18%	119,484	59.12%	36,828	18.22%	425	0.21%	4,300	2.13%	109	0.05%	545	0.27%	2,374	1.17%
4	192,567	-1,250	-0.64%	39,800	20.67%	152,767	79.33%	104,085	54.05%	36,305	18.85%	254	0.13%	8,235	4.28%	75	0.04%	920	0.48%	2,893	1.50%
5	190,170	-3,647	-1.88%	25,040	13.17%	165,130	86.83%	60,077	31.59%	97,363	51.20%	333	0.18%	3,722	1.96%	83	0.04%	631	0.33%	2,921	1.54%
6	178,766	-15,051	-7.77%	54,069	30.25%	124,697	69.75%	94,666	52.96%	18,649	10.43%	235	0.13%	8,061	4.51%	76	0.04%	658	0.37%	2,352	1.32%
7	204,110	10,293	5.31%	33,950	16.63%	170,160	83.37%	118,725	58.17%	41,304	20.24%	291	0.14%	4,533	2.22%	75	0.04%	2,054	1.01%	3,178	1.56%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 8**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 8

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	51	21	7	4	1	7	11
D2	47	17	5	4	0	1	20
D3	54	25	5	7	0	6	11
D4	45	19	7	4	0	0	15
D5	47	20	7	5	0	4	11
D6	31	20	6	4	0	0	1
D7	44	19	5	5	0	5	10

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 8**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1	1	4
2	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1		1		1	3
3	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
4	NORTHEAST HIGH			1		1		1	3
5	PLANTATION HIGH				1	1	1		3
6	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
7	COOPER CITY HIGH			1			1		2
8	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
9	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH					1		1	2
10	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1					2
11	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
12	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
13	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 8**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
3	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
5	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
9	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
10	MCARTHUR HIGH	1						
11	MONARCH HIGH							1
12	STRANAHAN HIGH			1				
13	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
14	WESTERN HIGH						1	

**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 9**



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Rose Waters and Roosevelt Walters



At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 9 WITH MUNICIPALITIES




Legend

School Board Member Districts

Unshaded areas represent county/regional facilities or remain unincorporated.

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Rose Waters and Roosevelt Walters



Date: 7/10/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 9 WITH INNOVATION ZONES




Legend

School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS Demographics & Student Assignments Department, Broward County GIS Division

Created by: Rose Waters and Roosevelt Walters
Date: 7/10/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 9**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 9 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	252,538	2,814	1.13%	80,453	31.86%	172,085	68.14%	103,454	40.97%	57,122	22.62%	929	0.37%	5,462	2.16%	147	0.06%	977	0.39%	3,994	1.58%
2	237,438	-12,286	-4.92%	95,300	40.14%	142,138	59.86%	57,326	24.14%	66,131	27.85%	337	0.14%	12,506	5.27%	88	0.04%	1,319	0.56%	4,431	1.87%
3	248,599	-1,125	-0.45%	46,365	18.65%	202,234	81.35%	146,830	59.06%	46,313	18.63%	487	0.20%	4,313	1.73%	124	0.05%	722	0.29%	3,445	1.39%
4	248,532	-1,192	-0.48%	57,087	22.97%	191,445	77.03%	119,742	48.18%	54,720	22.02%	324	0.13%	10,478	4.22%	102	0.04%	1,359	0.55%	4,720	1.90%
5	261,032	11,308	4.53%	35,745	13.69%	225,287	86.31%	66,034	25.30%	145,933	55.91%	481	0.18%	6,189	2.37%	113	0.04%	1,264	0.48%	5,273	2.02%
6	244,969	-4,755	-1.90%	77,722	31.73%	167,247	68.27%	133,483	54.49%	17,226	7.03%	433	0.18%	11,127	4.54%	103	0.04%	919	0.38%	3,956	1.61%
7	254,958	5,234	2.10%	45,575	17.88%	209,383	82.12%	133,948	52.54%	62,232	24.41%	403	0.16%	5,617	2.20%	85	0.03%	2,592	1.02%	4,506	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 9**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 9 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	200,474	6,657	3.43%	62,010	30.93%	138,464	69.07%	89,508	44.65%	40,516	20.21%	651	0.32%	4,346	2.17%	116	0.06%	621	0.31%	2,706	1.35%
2	175,336	-18,481	-9.54%	70,280	40.08%	105,056	59.92%	45,133	25.74%	46,664	26.61%	202	0.12%	9,291	5.30%	70	0.04%	808	0.46%	2,888	1.65%
3	206,475	12,658	6.53%	35,643	17.26%	170,832	82.74%	130,672	63.29%	33,222	16.09%	404	0.20%	3,642	1.76%	103	0.05%	511	0.25%	2,278	1.10%
4	186,703	-7,114	-3.67%	41,360	22.15%	145,343	77.85%	96,044	51.44%	37,421	20.04%	234	0.13%	7,892	4.23%	75	0.04%	884	0.47%	2,793	1.50%
5	200,676	6,859	3.54%	28,036	13.97%	172,640	86.03%	58,613	29.21%	104,194	51.92%	346	0.17%	5,031	2.51%	88	0.04%	856	0.43%	3,512	1.75%
6	182,141	-11,676	-6.02%	55,695	30.58%	126,446	69.42%	102,260	56.14%	12,525	6.88%	273	0.15%	8,446	4.64%	78	0.04%	560	0.31%	2,304	1.26%
7	204,912	11,095	5.72%	33,985	16.59%	170,927	83.41%	117,001	57.10%	44,076	21.51%	311	0.15%	4,424	2.16%	77	0.04%	2,030	0.99%	3,008	1.47%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 9**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 9

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	51	21	7	4	1	7	11
D2	46	16	5	4	0	1	20
D3	41	20	3	3	0	7	8
D4	52	22	7	4	0	0	19
D5	49	24	8	4	0	2	11
D6	41	21	7	8	0	0	5
D7	39	17	5	6	0	6	5

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 9**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1	1	1			3
2	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1			1		3
3	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1		3
4	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
5	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1			1	2
6	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			1	2
7	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
8	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
9	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1		1			2
10	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
11	NORTHEAST HIGH			1				1	2
12	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
13	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
14	STRANAHAN HIGH			1			1		2
15	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2
16	WESTERN HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 9**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	COOPER CITY HIGH						1	
2	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
3	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
5	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
9	MCARTHUR HIGH	1						
10	MONARCH HIGH							1
11	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 10



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County
GIS Division
Created by: Russell Chard



Date: 7/10/2012


At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 10 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Russell Chard

Date: 7/10/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 10 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Legend

School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS Demographics & Student Assignments Department, Broward County GIS Division

Created by: Russell Chard

Date: 7/10/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 10**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 10 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	254,234	4,510	1.81%	80,285	31.58%	173,949	68.42%	111,420	43.83%	51,083	20.09%	933	0.37%	5,657	2.23%	153	0.06%	920	0.36%	3,783	1.49%
2	244,935	-4,789	-1.92%	96,393	39.35%	148,542	60.65%	54,570	22.28%	75,029	30.63%	344	0.14%	12,401	5.06%	95	0.04%	1,373	0.56%	4,730	1.93%
3	246,197	-3,527	-1.41%	42,028	17.07%	204,169	82.93%	125,278	50.89%	70,141	28.49%	474	0.19%	3,838	1.56%	108	0.04%	763	0.31%	3,567	1.45%
4	253,124	3,400	1.36%	56,890	22.48%	196,234	77.52%	121,799	48.12%	56,841	22.46%	342	0.14%	10,838	4.28%	110	0.04%	1,383	0.55%	4,921	1.94%
5	246,252	-3,472	-1.39%	39,651	16.10%	206,601	83.90%	71,644	29.09%	121,405	49.30%	453	0.18%	6,634	2.69%	130	0.05%	1,263	0.51%	5,072	2.06%
6	248,755	-969	-0.39%	80,260	32.26%	168,495	67.74%	134,119	53.92%	17,563	7.06%	457	0.18%	11,339	4.56%	93	0.04%	946	0.38%	3,978	1.60%
7	254,569	4,845	1.94%	42,740	16.79%	211,829	83.21%	141,987	55.78%	57,615	22.63%	391	0.15%	4,985	1.96%	73	0.03%	2,504	0.98%	4,274	1.68%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 10**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 10 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	202,717	8,900	4.59%	61,996	30.58%	140,721	69.42%	95,880	47.30%	36,428	17.97%	662	0.33%	4,509	2.22%	121	0.06%	579	0.29%	2,542	1.25%
2	180,403	-13,414	-6.92%	71,118	39.42%	109,285	60.58%	43,173	23.93%	52,662	29.19%	206	0.11%	9,225	5.11%	76	0.04%	843	0.47%	3,100	1.72%
3	201,502	7,685	3.97%	32,364	16.06%	169,138	83.94%	112,363	55.76%	50,124	24.88%	388	0.19%	3,256	1.62%	92	0.05%	527	0.26%	2,388	1.19%
4	189,393	-4,424	-2.28%	40,994	21.64%	148,399	78.36%	97,401	51.43%	38,658	20.41%	246	0.13%	8,163	4.31%	85	0.04%	927	0.49%	2,919	1.54%
5	190,995	-2,822	-1.46%	30,990	16.23%	160,005	83.77%	63,040	33.01%	86,982	45.54%	325	0.17%	5,364	2.81%	99	0.05%	849	0.44%	3,346	1.75%
6	184,918	-8,899	-4.59%	57,559	31.13%	127,359	68.87%	102,701	55.54%	12,788	6.92%	286	0.15%	8,597	4.65%	71	0.04%	580	0.31%	2,336	1.26%
7	206,789	12,972	6.69%	31,988	15.47%	174,801	84.53%	124,673	60.29%	40,976	19.82%	308	0.15%	3,958	1.91%	63	0.03%	1,965	0.95%	2,858	1.38%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 10**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 10

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	48	20	6	4	1	7	10
D2	49	18	6	4	0	1	20
D3	49	22	6	5	0	7	9
D4	49	22	7	4	0	0	16
D5	46	21	7	3	0	1	14
D6	39	20	6	7	0	0	6
D7	39	18	4	6	0	7	4

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 10**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1	1	1			3
2	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1			1		3
3	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1		3
4	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
5	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1			1	2
6	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
7	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
8	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
9	STRANAHAN HIGH			1			1		2
10	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2
11	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
12	NORTHEAST HIGH			1				1	2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 10**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	COOPER CITY HIGH						1	
2	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
3	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
4	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
5	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
6	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
7	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
8	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
9	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
10	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
11	MCARTHUR HIGH	1						
12	MIRAMAR HIGH		1					
13	MONARCH HIGH							1
14	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
15	WESTERN HIGH						1	

**2013
BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING
ALTERNATIVE 11**



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County
GIS Division
Created by: Freddy Avalos




At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 11 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Freddy Avalos

Date: 7/11/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 11 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Legend

School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS Demographics & Student Assignments Department, Broward County GIS Division

Created by: Freddy Avalos

Date: 7/11/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 11**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 11 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	248,583	-1,141	-0.46%	75,086	30.21%	173,497	69.79%	106,747	42.94%	55,803	22.45%	908	0.37%	5,149	2.07%	151	0.06%	893	0.36%	3,846	1.55%
2	252,073	2,349	0.94%	101,881	40.42%	150,192	59.58%	60,247	23.90%	70,421	27.94%	373	0.15%	12,961	5.14%	98	0.04%	1,402	0.56%	4,690	1.86%
3	250,686	962	0.39%	42,483	16.95%	208,203	83.05%	171,790	68.53%	27,003	10.77%	475	0.19%	4,052	1.62%	115	0.05%	1,255	0.50%	3,513	1.40%
4	250,140	416	0.17%	53,872	21.54%	196,268	78.46%	123,695	49.45%	54,895	21.95%	360	0.14%	10,983	4.39%	110	0.04%	1,392	0.56%	4,833	1.93%
5	250,617	893	0.36%	33,078	13.20%	217,539	86.80%	40,436	16.13%	167,492	66.83%	491	0.20%	3,355	1.34%	90	0.04%	1,154	0.46%	4,521	1.80%
6	249,724	0	0.00%	79,522	31.84%	170,202	68.16%	135,383	54.21%	18,338	7.34%	454	0.18%	11,013	4.41%	96	0.04%	918	0.37%	4,000	1.60%
7	246,243	-3,481	-1.39%	52,325	21.25%	193,918	78.75%	122,519	49.76%	55,725	22.63%	333	0.14%	8,179	3.32%	102	0.04%	2,138	0.87%	4,922	2.00%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 11**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 11 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	197,711	3,894	2.01%	58,049	29.36%	139,662	70.64%	92,198	46.63%	39,438	19.95%	648	0.33%	4,114	2.08%	121	0.06%	558	0.28%	2,585	1.31%
2	186,650	-7,167	-3.70%	75,307	40.35%	111,343	59.65%	47,687	25.55%	49,754	26.66%	224	0.12%	9,664	5.18%	77	0.04%	866	0.46%	3,071	1.65%
3	213,479	19,662	10.14%	32,841	15.38%	180,638	84.62%	153,555	71.93%	19,741	9.25%	415	0.19%	3,475	1.63%	98	0.05%	988	0.46%	2,366	1.11%
4	192,838	-979	-0.51%	40,369	20.93%	152,469	79.07%	100,616	52.18%	39,282	20.37%	254	0.13%	8,418	4.37%	83	0.04%	891	0.46%	2,925	1.52%
5	186,160	-7,657	-3.95%	24,384	13.10%	161,776	86.90%	36,471	19.59%	118,295	63.54%	342	0.18%	2,700	1.45%	72	0.04%	827	0.44%	3,069	1.65%
6	186,651	-7,166	-3.70%	57,047	30.56%	129,604	69.44%	104,595	56.04%	13,343	7.15%	290	0.16%	8,383	4.49%	73	0.04%	557	0.30%	2,363	1.27%
7	193,228	-589	-0.30%	39,012	20.19%	154,216	79.81%	104,109	53.88%	38,765	20.06%	248	0.13%	6,318	3.27%	83	0.04%	1,583	0.82%	3,110	1.61%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 11**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 11

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	48	20	7	4	1	5	11
D2	49	18	5	4	0	3	19
D3	38	18	5	3	0	6	6
D4	46	22	7	5	0	0	12
D5	61	27	7	4	0	7	16
D6	42	21	7	8	0	0	6
D7	35	15	4	5	0	2	9

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 11**


	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	STRANAHAN HIGH	1		1		1	1		4
2	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH				1	1		1	3
3	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1		1		1	3
4	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1			1		3
5	PIPER HIGH				1	1		1	3
6	PLANTATION HIGH				1	1	1		3
7	COCONUT CREEK HIGH					1		1	2
8	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			1	2
9	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH			1				1	2
10	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
11	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			1	2
12	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1		1			2
13	MCARTHUR HIGH	1	1						2
14	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
15	MONARCH HIGH					1		1	2
16	NORTHEAST HIGH			1		1			2
17	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
18	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
19	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			1	2
20	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 11**


	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	COOPER CITY HIGH						1	
2	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
3	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
5	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
6	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
7	WESTERN HIGH						1	

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 12



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Roosevelt Walters



Date: 7/11/2012


At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 12 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |




Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division
Created by: Roosevelt Walters



Date: 7/11/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 12 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Legend

School Board Member Districts

Single Board Member Districts

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| D1- Ann Murray | D5- Benjamin J. Williams |
| D2- Patricia Good | D6- Laurie Rich Levinson |
| D3- Maureen S. Dinnen | D7- Nora Rupert |
| D4- Donna P. Korn | |



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, BCPS Demographics & Student Assignments Department, Broward County GIS Division

Created by: Roosevelt Walters

Date: 7/11/2012

At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.



**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 12**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

Alternative 12 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	261,560	11,836	4.74%	80,989	30.96%	180,571	69.04%	84,638	32.36%	83,428	31.90%	498	0.19%	5,997	2.29%	165	0.06%	1,206	0.46%	4,639	1.77%
2	243,332	-6,392	-2.56%	108,603	44.63%	134,729	55.37%	81,898	33.66%	33,797	13.89%	297	0.12%	13,601	5.59%	74	0.03%	1,098	0.45%	3,964	1.63%
3	242,040	-7,684	-3.08%	41,773	17.26%	200,267	82.74%	169,525	70.04%	21,163	8.74%	865	0.36%	4,374	1.81%	113	0.05%	1,064	0.44%	3,163	1.31%
4	257,676	7,952	3.18%	54,380	21.10%	203,296	78.90%	144,674	56.15%	39,680	15.40%	321	0.12%	11,554	4.48%	101	0.04%	1,958	0.76%	5,008	1.94%
5	256,537	6,813	2.73%	38,131	14.86%	218,406	85.14%	76,250	29.72%	130,914	51.03%	511	0.20%	4,869	1.90%	121	0.05%	1,025	0.40%	4,716	1.84%
6	238,690	-11,034	-4.42%	65,476	27.43%	173,214	72.57%	124,906	52.33%	31,948	13.38%	483	0.20%	10,472	4.39%	90	0.04%	1,101	0.46%	4,214	1.77%
7	248,231	-1,493	-0.60%	48,895	19.70%	199,336	80.30%	78,926	31.80%	108,747	43.81%	419	0.17%	4,825	1.94%	98	0.04%	1,700	0.68%	4,621	1.86%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population.

**2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY FOR POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OLDER BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT
ALTERNATIVE 12**

Current Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	198,816	4,999	2.58%	58,811	29.58%	140,005	70.42%	97,504	49.04%	34,136	17.17%	666	0.33%	4,574	2.30%	122	0.06%	553	0.28%	2,450	1.23%
2	218,597	24,780	12.79%	84,475	38.64%	134,122	61.36%	60,556	27.70%	57,765	26.43%	293	0.13%	10,772	4.93%	87	0.04%	984	0.45%	3,665	1.68%
3	194,679	862	0.44%	36,501	18.75%	158,178	81.25%	131,281	67.43%	18,680	9.60%	345	0.18%	5,037	2.59%	101	0.05%	549	0.28%	2,185	1.12%
4	191,741	-2,076	-1.07%	42,484	22.16%	149,257	77.84%	104,630	54.57%	32,576	16.99%	250	0.13%	8,084	4.22%	78	0.04%	897	0.47%	2,742	1.43%
5	170,915	-22,902	-11.82%	18,389	10.76%	152,526	89.24%	34,631	20.26%	111,536	65.26%	309	0.18%	2,508	1.47%	59	0.03%	595	0.35%	2,888	1.69%
6	192,106	-1,711	-0.88%	55,742	29.02%	136,364	70.98%	90,465	47.09%	33,707	17.55%	284	0.15%	8,228	4.28%	101	0.05%	763	0.40%	2,816	1.47%
7	189,863	-3,954	-2.04%	30,607	16.12%	159,256	83.88%	120,164	63.29%	30,218	15.92%	274	0.14%	3,869	2.04%	59	0.03%	1,929	1.02%	2,743	1.44%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

Alternative 12 Diversity

School Board District	2010 Total Population 18 Years and Older	Total # Above or Below District Population Average	% Above or Below District Population Average	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Not Hispanic*	% Not Hispanic	White	% White	Black	% Black	American Indian	% American Indian	Asian	% Asian	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	% Other	Multi-Racial	% Multi-Racial
1	204,223	10,406	5.37%	62,428	30.57%	141,795	69.43%	73,903	36.19%	58,646	28.72%	355	0.17%	4,820	2.36%	129	0.06%	789	0.39%	3,153	1.54%
2	176,909	-16,908	-8.72%	78,610	44.44%	98,299	55.56%	60,890	34.42%	24,191	13.67%	189	0.11%	9,870	5.58%	56	0.03%	661	0.37%	2,442	1.38%
3	204,981	11,164	5.76%	32,360	15.79%	172,621	84.21%	149,631	73.00%	15,571	7.60%	652	0.32%	3,726	1.82%	95	0.05%	793	0.39%	2,153	1.05%
4	194,992	1,175	0.61%	39,117	20.06%	155,875	79.94%	115,296	59.13%	27,320	14.01%	231	0.12%	8,634	4.43%	76	0.04%	1,379	0.71%	2,939	1.51%
5	197,685	3,868	2.00%	29,428	14.89%	168,257	85.11%	66,972	33.88%	93,011	47.05%	371	0.19%	3,992	2.02%	93	0.05%	691	0.35%	3,127	1.58%
6	184,079	-9,738	-5.02%	48,285	26.23%	135,794	73.77%	101,200	54.98%	22,869	12.42%	317	0.17%	8,098	4.40%	72	0.04%	674	0.37%	2,564	1.39%
7	193,848	31	0.02%	36,781	18.97%	157,067	81.03%	71,339	36.80%	77,010	39.73%	306	0.16%	3,932	2.03%	86	0.04%	1,283	0.66%	3,111	1.60%
Total	1,356,717			327,009	24.10%	1,029,708	75.90%	639,231	47.12%	318,618	23.48%	2,421	0.18%	43,072	3.17%	607	0.04%	6,270	0.46%	19,489	1.44%
Average	193,817																				

*Not-Hispanic population is equal to the total of all race categories. Hispanic plus Not-Hispanic population is equal to the 2010 total population 18 years and older.

**Number of Schools by Proposed District
Alternative 12**

Current Districts

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

Alternative 12

District	Total Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	51	17	6	4	1	7	16
D2	43	20	5	4	0	0	14
D3	33	17	5	3	0	5	3
D4	47	22	7	7	0	1	10
D5	61	29	8	5	0	5	14
D6	40	20	6	7	0	0	7
D7	44	16	5	3	0	5	15

**Number of Times an Innovation Zone is Split by a Single School Board Member District
Map Alternative 12**

	Innovation Zone	Number of Splits by Single School Board Member Districts							Total Number of Splits
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	
1	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH			1	1			1	3
2	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH	1	1				1		3
3	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1			1		3
4	MCARTHUR HIGH	1		1			1		3
5	PIPER HIGH					1	1	1	3
6	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1	1	3
7	STRANAHAN HIGH			1		1	1		3
8	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1		1	1	3
9	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH					1		1	2
10	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1			1	2
11	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
12	EVERGLADES HIGH	1	1						2
13	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1		1			2
14	MONARCH HIGH				1			1	2
15	NORTHEAST HIGH			1				1	2
16	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
17	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
18	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2
19	WESTERN HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

**Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District
Map Alternative 12**

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	COOPER CITY HIGH						1	
2	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
3	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
4	CYPRESS BAY HIGH		1					
5	DILLARD HIGH					1		
6	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
7	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
8	MIRAMAR HIGH	1						

**Broward County School Board
Redistricting Steering Committee
2011 - 2013**

Single School Board Member Alternative Map Evaluation Comparison Matrix

Rate each category from 1 to 4.

1 = Strongly Unfavorable to the Guiding Principles
2 = Somewhat Unfavorable to the Guiding Principles
3 = Somewhat Favorable to the Guiding Principles
4 = Strongly Favorable to the Guiding Principles

Map Alternative	Category A	Category B	Category C	Category D	Category E	Category F	Category G	Category H	Total Score	Comments
	Districts are as nearly as practicable, equal in <u>total population</u>	Districts are as nearly as practicable, equal in <u>total voting age population</u>	Districts shall be compact and contiguous	Districts shall where feasible, utilize existing political and geographical boundaries	Preservation of communities of interest	Ability for populations to elect representatives of their choice	Alignment of single member districts with equal numbers of schools	Alignment of single member districts with Innovation Zones		
Alternative # 1										
Alternative # 2										
Alternative # 3										
Alternative # 4										
Alternative # 5										

**Broward County School Board
Redistricting Steering Committee
2011 - 2013**

Single School Board Member Alternative Map Evaluation Comparison Matrix

Rate each category from 1 to 4.

1 = Strongly Unfavorable to the Guiding Principles
2 = Somewhat Unfavorable to the Guiding Principles
3 = Somewhat Favorable to the Guiding Principles
4 = Strongly Favorable to the Guiding Principles

Map Alternative	Category A	Category B	Category C	Category D	Category E	Category F	Category G	Category H	Total Score	Comments
	Districts are as nearly as practicable, equal in <u>total population</u>	Districts are as nearly as practicable, equal in <u>total voting age population</u>	Districts shall be compact and contiguous	Districts shall where feasible, utilize existing political and geographical boundaries	Preservation of communities of interest	Ability for populations to elect representatives of their choice	Alignment of single member districts with equal numbers of schools	Alignment of single member districts with Innovation Zones		
Alternative # 6										
Alternative # 7										
Alternative # 8										
Alternative # 9										
Alternative # 10										

**Broward County School Board
Redistricting Steering Committee
2011 - 2013**

Single School Board Member Alternative Map Evaluation Comparison Matrix

Rate each category from 1 to 4.



1 = Strongly Unfavorable to the Guiding Principles
2 = Somewhat Unfavorable to the Guiding Principles
3 = Somewhat Favorable to the Guiding Principles
4 = Strongly Favorable to the Guiding Principles

Map Alternative	Category A	Category B	Category C	Category D	Category E	Category F	Category G	Category H	Total Score	Comments
	Districts are as nearly as practicable, equal in <u>total population</u>	Districts are as nearly as practicable, equal in <u>total voting age population</u>	Districts shall be compact and contiguous	Districts shall where feasible, utilize existing political and geographical boundaries	Preservation of communities of interest	Ability for populations to elect representatives of their choice	Alignment of single member districts with equal numbers of schools	Alignment of single member districts with Innovation Zones		
Alternative # 11										
Alternative # 12										


Print Name - Redistricting Steering Committee Member


Signature - Redistricting Steering Committee Member




_____, 2012
Date

From:  **Patrick Sipple** Fri, Aug 17, 2012 9:15:28 AM 
"Russell R. Chard" <russchard@gmail.com>

Subject: Fwd: RE: Re: Redistricting Committee Legal Questions

To:  **Jill L. Young**

Cc:  michael.rajner@gmail.com

Attachments:  Attach0.html / Uploaded File 21K
 Memo to Committee Chair.pdf / Uploaded File 3M
 Memo-Legal Questions.pdf / Uploaded File 8K

Materials from Russ Chard.

Patrick J. Sipple, GISP
Demographer Specialist
Demographics & Student Assignments
754-321-2480

The School Board of Broward County, Florida expressly prohibits bullying, including cyberbullying, by or towards any student or employee. See Policy 5.9: Anti-Bullying for additional information.

----- Original Message -----

Patrick,

I'm attaching two documents: (1) legal questions and (2) an analysis I had made of the map alternatives. Please forward to Chairman Rajner.

Thanks,

Russ

From: Patrick Sipple [<mailto:patrick.sipple@browardschools.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 15, 2012 2:22 PM
To: Paul Carland; Leslie M. Brown; Joanne W. Harrison; Jill L. Young
Cc: Dorothy McCray; Cecilia U. Guerrero; JoAnn T. DiLallo; Elizabeth A. Henderson; Theresa M. Silva; Christine A. Young
Subject: Fwd: Re: Redistricting Committee Legal Questions

Good Afternoon Committee Members,

Attached is a response to the August 7th request by legal counsel. I will bring hard copies tonight.

Patrick J. Sipple, GISP
Demographer Specialist
Demographics & Student Assignments
754-321-2480

The School Board of Broward County, Florida expressly prohibits bullying, including cyberbullying, by or towards any student or employee. See Policy 5.9: Anti-Bullying for additional information.

----- Original Message -----

Good afternoon,

In response to the August 7, 2012 request (below), please see the attached memorandum from J. Paul Carland, II.

Sincerely,

Joanne

Joanne C. Fritz
Executive Secretary to the General Counsel
The School Board of Broward County, Florida
600 SE Third Avenue, 11th Floor
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: 754-321-2050 / Facsimile: 754-321-2705
joanne.fritz@browardschools.com

UNDER FLORIDA LAW, EMAIL ADDRESSES ARE PUBLIC RECORDS. IF YOU DO NOT WANT YOUR EMAIL ADDRESS TO BE RELEASED AS PART OF ANY PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, DO NOT SEND EMAIL TO THIS ADDRESS, RATHER CONTACT THIS OFFICE BY PHONE OR IN WRITING.

Dorothy McCray on Tuesday, August 07, 2012 at 1:51 PM -0400 wrote:

THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
JOANNE W. HARRISON, Ed.D.
CHIEF PORTFOLIO SERVICES OFFICER

Signature on file

August 7, 2012

TO: J. Paul Carland, General Counsel

FROM: Joanne W. Harrison, Ed.D., Chief Officer
Office of Portfolio Services

SUBJECT: LEGAL INTERPRETATION OF GUIDING PRINCIPLES, TERMS AND THE RECENT FLORIDA SUPREME COURT RULING

The purpose of this memorandum is to request your legal opinion **prior to August 15, 2012** on the following questions received by members of the School Board Redistricting Committee. The committee will meet on August 15, 2012 to begin deliberations for recommending a new single School Board member map to the School Board of Broward County, Florida. The following questions were received by committee members during the final redistricting hearing held on July 25, 2012.

- When it comes to preserving the voting rights of minorities, what is the definition of a minority and what is the benchmark, percentage or threshold the committee should be measuring this against?
- What is the Supreme Court's definition of compactness as it applies to drawing political lines for the purpose of redistricting?
- Can the committee split voting precincts to come up with a new Single School Board member map?
- What is the Supreme Court ruling regarding Amendments 5 and 6?

The committee has request legal counsel's participation either in person or by telephone during the August 30, 2012 meeting. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact **Jill Young, Director, Demographics & Student Assignments Department at 754-321-2480.**

JWH/LB/JY/PS:dm

cc: Leslie Brown, Executive Director, Portfolio Management
Patrick Sipple, Demographer, Demographics & Student Assignments Department
Michael Emanuel Rajner, BSW, Chairman, 2011-2013 Redistricting Steering Committee

Dorothy McCray
Clerk Specialist IV
Demographics & Student Assignments
KCW Bldg. 4th floor
754-321-2480 phone ext.2464
754-321- 2489 fax
dmccray@browardschools.com

The School Board of Broward County, Florida expressly prohibits bullying, including

cyberbullying, by or towards any student or employee. Please see Policy 5.9: Anti-Bullying for additional information.

Under Florida law, e-mail addresses, and all communications, including e-mail communications, made or received in connection with the transaction of School Board business are public records, which must be retained as required by law and must be disclosed upon receipt of a public records request, except as may be excluded by federal or state laws. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 7389 (20120815)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

<http://www.eset.com>

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 7392 (20120816)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.


<http://www.eset.com>

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 7392 (20120816)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

<http://www.eset.com>

TO: Michael Rajner, Chair
Broward School Board Redistricting Steering Committee

FROM: Russell R. Chard 

DATE: August 16, 2012

SUBJ: Map Alternative Analysis

There is a tremendous amount of subjective and objective information that the Steering Committee must assess now that we've reached the procedural stage of actually evaluating map alternatives. After the final submission deadline, I did a considerable amount of research and analysis for my own purposes, expecting that at some point we would need to rank our "top three" or "top five" (or whatever number the Committee decides).

While I freely admit that I had done this analysis in anticipation of advocating for my own map submission, I realized it closely paralleled much of the evaluation criteria that came out of last night's meeting. I thought it might be helpful to share this information with the other members of the Committee *for whatever weight they do or do NOT choose to give to it*. I want to be clear: I am not trying to force my methodology or thought processes on anyone else. I'm simply offering another analytical tool to use if someone finds value in it. It might even be that someone uses the raw data and applies a completely different method of evaluating it.

The information is gleaned from a very careful scrutiny of each map and is accurate to the best of my knowledge and/or abilities, but if anyone finds any errors, please let me know so that I can correct them. My methodology involved identifying a "best" level for adherence to a particular "guiding principle", then applying an increasingly severe penalty score to each degree of deviation from that "best level".

Trying to develop a meaningful evaluation matrix that could combine and summarize such distinct areas of evaluation was more complex than what I was prepared to attempt. Therefore, I fell back on the "old reliable" method of ranking the results in each evaluation category, then averaging out the various rankings achieved by each map alternative. Frankly, I find "rankings" a bit lame because they generally give no quantitative value to the "gaps" between ranks: "#2" might be only slightly behind "#1" while being dramatically ahead of "#3", yet those differences don't always reveal themselves in a simple listing of ranks. Also, these rankings have not yet taken into

consideration any subjective evaluations that might be appropriate to layer on top of them.

My point is this: don't shoot the messenger. This analysis was created for my own use and I don't claim that it's perfect or scientifically defensible. But given the rapid turnaround time for evaluations, I thought my previous efforts might prove helpful and save some of the other Committee members from duplicating the work.

Obviously, I've added notes and explanations to some charts. I've already confessed to having the handwriting of a "kidney gardener" (and apparently the annunciation of one as well), so I tried to make my drafts and notes a little more presentable, as well.

I'll see you at the next meeting.

Innovation Zone Comparison

Columns show whether an I-Zone is wholly contained within a single district ("1" in Column A) or split into multiple districts ("2", "3", or "4" in Columns B, C and D).

Points values are assigned to reward an intact district ("0" pts.) then apply an increasingly severe penalty for each additional "split".

Map Alternative	A	B	C	D	Total	Notes
	Districts per Innovation Zone					
	1 (0 pts)	2 (1 pt)	3 (3 pts)	4 (7 pts)		
1	15	7	4	1	26	
2	7	17	3	0	26	
3	3	10	8	6	76	(1)
4	13	8	4	1	27	
5	15	8	4	0	20	
6	11	10	5	1	32	
7	14	7	6	0	25	
8	14	7	5	1	29	
9	11	12	4	0	24	
10	16	7	4	0	19	(2)
11	7	14	5	1	36	
12	8	11	8	0	35	

Rank	Map Alt	Point Total*
1	10	19
2	5	20
3	9	24
4	7	25
5	1	26
5	2	26
7	4	27
8	8	29
9	6	32
10	12	35
11	11	36
12	3	76

* Lower score is better.

Notes:

(1) - Column (d) contains one "5" district I-Zone. Adjusted score should be 84 (assuming prior pattern of "double the previous score plus one"). Including it in the "4" column did NOT affect rankings.


(2) - Northeastern I-Zone is counted as a "1" even though only 95% or so is contained within a single district. If Northeastern was counted as a "2", the adjusted total score of Map Alternative #10 would be 20. If that is considered a substantive impact on the I-Zone, then the more punitive score should be applied.

School Density per District Comparison

Ranking	Map Alternative	Highest Density	Lowest Density	Range (High - Low)
1	10	49	39	10
2	2	52	39	13
2	4	52	39	13
2	9	52	39	13
5	5	53	35	18
6	7	54	33	21
7	1	54	32	22
8	8	54	31	23
8	11	61	38	23
10	6	59	33	26
11	12	61	33	28
12	3	67	32	35

Population Variation Comparison

Methodology:
 Actual population variations were converted to their Absolute Value (negative numbers converted to positive values) then rounded down to the next whole number, creating an "Adjusted Population Value". As Adjusted Pop Values increase, the penalty points become increasingly severe.



Map Alt	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Points
1	-2.38 2 3	1.89 1 1	-1.90 1 1	1.11 1 1	0.09 0 0	-1.24 1 1	2.44 2 3	10
2	2.87 2 3	-4.14 4 15	0.24 0 0	0.41 0 0	2.39 2 3	-3.38 3 7	1.61 1 1	29
3	-2.19 2 3	-4.01 4 15	0.60 0 0	2.34 2 3	2.55 2 3	-2.28 2 3	2.98 2 3	30
4	1.13 1 1	-4.92 4 15	2.48 2 3	-2.22 2 3	2.42 2 3	-1.90 1 1	3.00 3 7	33
5	0.03 0 0	-0.52 0 0	0.21 0 0	-0.81 0 0	0.53 0 0	1.34 1 1	-0.77 0 0	1
6	-3.42 3 7	2.41 2 3	3.08 3 7	-2.94 2 3	2.70 2 3	0.82 0 0	-2.65 2 3	26
7	0.03 0 0	-0.52 0 0	0.41 0 0	-0.81 0 0	2.67 2 3	-0.99 0 0	-0.79 0 0	3
8	4.42 4 15	-1.12 1 1	-1.90 1 1	1.11 1 1	-0.92 0 0	-4.03 4 15	2.44 2 3	36
9	1.13 1 1	-4.92 4 15	-0.45 0 0	-0.48 0 0	4.53 4 15	-1.90 1 1	2.10 2 3	35
10	1.81 1 1	-1.92 1 1	-1.41 1 1	1.36 1 1	-1.39 1 1	-0.39 0 0	1.94 1 1	6
11	-0.46 0 0	0.94 0 0	0.39 0 0	0.17 0 0	0.36 0 0	0.00 0 0	-1.39 1 1	1
12	4.74 4 15	-2.56 2 3	-3.08 3 7	3.18 3 7	2.73 2 3	-4.42 4 15	-0.60 0 0	50

Ranking	Map Alt	Point Total*
1	5	1
1	11	1
3	7	3
4	10	6
5	1	10
6	6	26
7	2	29
8	3	30
9	4	33
10	9	35
11	8	36
12	12	50

*Lower is better

Scoring	
APV	Points
0	0
1	1
2	3
3	7
4	15

City Division by District Comparison

	Map Alt 1	Map Alt 2	Map Alt 3	Map Alt 4	Map Alt 5	Map Alt 6	Map Alt 7	Map Alt 8	Map Alt 9	Map Alt 10	Map Alt 11	Map Alt 12
Coconut Creek	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cooper City	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2
Coral Springs	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
Dania Beach	2	2	3	2	3	1	3	2	2	2	2	2
Davie	2	2	5	2	4	2	3	3	2	2	2	4
Deerfield Beach	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
Fort Lauderdale	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
Hallandale Beach	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Hillsboro Beach	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Hollywood	2	3	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
Indian Reservation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lauderdale by the Sea	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
Lauderdale Lakes	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
Lauderhill	2	2	3	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	2
Lazy Lake	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lighthouse Point	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Margate	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2
Miramar	1	1	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
North Lauderdale	2	2	4	3	4	2	4	2	2	3	2	1
Oakland Park	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Parkland	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
Pembroke Park	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Pembroke Pines	2	3	4	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	3	3
Plantation	2	3	4	3	2	2	1	2	3	3	3	2
Pompano Beach	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	3	2
Sea Ranch Lakes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Southwest Ranches	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2
Sunrise	2	3	4	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	3
Tamarac	2	2	4	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
West Park	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Weston	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Wilton Manors	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2
Total City "Pieces"	47	55	79	49	51	42	47	48	47	46	52	57

Rank	Map	"Pieces"
1	6	42
2	10	46
3	1	47
4	7	47
5	9	47
6	8	48
7	4	49
8	5	51
9	11	52
10	2	55
11	12	57
12	3	79

*Smaller number is better

This chart simply takes the number of governmental entities (32) and adds up how many "pieces" each was divided into by that map alternative's proposed district lines, i.e. if a city is wholly within one district, it is rated a "1" but if it appears in three different districts, it rates a "3". In order to be purely objective, the numbers above reflect VERY strict mapping: if ANY portion of a city -no matter how small- appeared within a district, it was counted as a "piece".

Entries that have been boxed and highlighted indicate city/map combinations in which that city has a single land mass extending across a particular district boundary that is so small that it probably should not be counted as a "piece", but that becomes a subjective decision. If that judgement were to be exercised (that the piece is too small to be of substantial impact), then the highlighted number should be one less than what is indicated.

If a city had more than a single small land mass extending across a boundary line, it was NOT highlighted even if the combination of the two (or more) land masses might still present an almost inconsequential impact on the city as a whole. Example: North Lauderdale has two southeast sections that extend east of the Florida Turnpike. If a district boundary picked up only one of those extensions, it was highlighted. If it picked up BOTH extensions, even though they are small, it was NOT highlighted. Again, it is a subjective assessment as to whether or not two small pieces constitute a substantive impact.

This analysis gave no consideration to areas that are known to be unpopulated, i.e. parks, Port Everglades, airports, commercial/industrial zones.

Rank Average per Category

Map Alternative	Innovation Zones	School Density	Population Variance	City Division	Average Ranking
1	5	7	5	3	5.00
2	5	2	7	10	6.00
3	12	12	8	12	11.00
4	7	2	9	7	6.25
5	2	5	1	8	4.00
6	9	10	6	1	6.50
7	4	6	3	4	4.25
8	8	8	11	6	8.25
9	3	2	10	5	5.00
10	1	1	4	2	2.00
11	11	8	1	9	7.25
12	10	11	12	11	11.00

Overall

Overall Ranking	Map Alternative	Average Ranking
1	10	2.00
2	5	4.00
3	7	4.25
4	1	5.00
4	9	5.00
6	2	6.00
7	4	6.25
8	6	6.50
9	11	7.25
10	8	8.25
11	3	11.00
12	12	11.00

TO: Michael Rajner, Chair
Broward School Board Redistricting Steering Committee

FROM: Russell R. Chard

DATE: August 16, 2012

SUBJ: Questions for Legal Team

Please forward these questions to the members of the legal team.

1. I would appreciate clarification to Question #5 of your 8/15 memo. I interpret the response to effectively say "If you're going to use voting age population figures, the Census data is a more legally defensible source than is the Supervisor of Elections Office." Is it also intended to mean that even if using Census data as a sole source, that using voting age population figures to draw "as equal as possible" district boundaries is more legally defensible to using total population figures?
2. What are the legal implications, if any, of using total population versus voting age population? Does using one or the other simply give you a more legally defensible position in the event of a complaint or does using one or the other actually create a greater possibility/probability that there **will** be a complaint?
3. If we are using the same methodology and data set that have been previously used by other governmental entities, what compelling reason would we have to deviate from the established acceptable standards? If we failed to do so, why would we be at any greater risk for a complaint?